

GAHC040000502026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/17/2026

Dohu Takik and 6 Ors
Son of Late Dohu Manglo, Village Rakso, PO Palin, Kra Daadi District, Arunachal Pradesh. 2: Dohu Depen

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Dohu Teni

Village Rakso

PO Palin

Kra Daadi District

Arunachal Pradesh.

3: Dohu Nikam

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Dohu Taying

Village Rakso

PO Palin

Kra Daadi District

Arunachal Pradesh.

4: Smti Dohu Yacham

Age:

Occupation :

Wife of Shri Dohu Nikam

Village Rakso

PO Palin

Kra Daadi District

Arunachal Pradesh.

5: Biri Takio

Age:

Occupation :

Son of Late Biri Nima

Village Rakso
PO Palin
Kra Daadi District
Arunachal Pradesh.

6: Dohu Jeevan
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Dohu Talo
Village Rakso
PO Palin
Kra Daadi District
Arunachal Pradesh.

7: Smti Tassar Yapuk
Age:
Occupation :
Wife of Shri Tassar Puna
Village Yapap Bogu
PO Palin
Kra Daadi District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 4 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Land Management, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar
791112:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Kra Daadi District
Palin
Arunachal Pradesh 791118

3:The Chief Engineer (Highway)
Age: 0
Occupation :
PWD
Western Zone
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar. 791111

4:The Union of India
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by the Secretary
Ministry of Road Transport and Highway
Govt of India

Transport Bhawan
No.1
Parliament Street
New Delhi 11001

5:The National Highway and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by the Managing Director
3rd Floor
PTI Building
4 Parliament Street
New Delhi 11000

Advocate for the Petitioner : Subu Koyang,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), SC(Highway),DSGI,Rintu Saikia,Marto Kato

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER

ORDER

Date : 20.01.2026
(Marli, V. J)

Heard Mr. S. Koyang, learned counsel for the applicants/appellants, who has filed the instant application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 for condonation of delay of 19 days in preferring the appeal against the Judgement and order dated 19.11.2025 passed in WP(C) No.413(AP)/2025.

2. Issue notice to the respondents.

3. Since, Mr. N. Ratan, learned Additional Advocate General accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 3, Mr. R. Saikia, learned

counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4 and Mr. N. Sora, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of Mr. M. Kato, learned DSGI representing the respondent No.5, no need to issue formal notice.

4. The learned counsel for the applicants/appellants submits that the delay of 19 days in filing the appeal against the said Judgment and order dated 19.11.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge in WP(C) No.413(AP)/2025 was not due to any laches and negligence on the part of the applicants/appellants but was due to the time taken for obtaining a certified true copy of the impugned Judgment and order and time taken for preparation of the appeal and getting the signatures of the appellants.

5. The learned counsel for the respondents submits that they have no objection to the condonation of delay of 19 days.

6. In view of the above submissions, we find it appropriate to condone the delay of 19 days in filing the appeal against the Judgement and order dated 19.11.2025 passed in WP(C) No.413(AP)/2025, being satisfied with the explanation given by the learned counsel for the applicants/appellants.

7. Accordingly, IA(C) No.17(AP)/2026 stands allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant