

GAHC040000422026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(Crl)/2/2026

Joram Papu
Son of Late Joram Alla,
Village Joram,
PO and PS Yazali,
Keyi Panyor District,
Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and Anr
represented by the PP of AP

2:Ms XYZ
Age: 0
Occupation :
Daughter of Joram Nagu
resident of Mowb-I
Itanagar
Papum Pare (City)
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Tapi Nguki,

Advocate for the Respondent : P P of AP,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER

ORDER

Date : 22-01-2026
(M. Vankung, J.)

Heard Mr. S. Mow, learned counsel for the applicants along with Ms. L. Hage, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

This is an application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, praying for condonation of a delay of 67 (sixty-seven) days in filing the criminal appeal against the judgment and order, dated 03.09.2025, passed by the learned Sessions Judge in Sessions Case No. 13/2021, corresponding to W.P.S. Case No. 06/2021, under Section 376 IPC read with Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the delay of 67 days has been explained in Paragraph-3 of the application, which was beyond the control of the applicant as he was sent to jail after his conviction and sentence and therefore, needed time to contact a counsel.

Ms. L. Hage, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, in all fairness submits that she will not be filing any written objection, since this is a statutory appeal.

We have considered the submissions made by both parties and also perused the explanation given in Paragraph-3 of the condonation of delay application and are satisfied with the explanation given. Accordingly, the delay of 67 days in filing the connected Criminal Appeal No. 2 of 2026 stands condoned.

Accordingly, Interlocutory Application No. 2 of 2026 stands allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant