

GAHC040000402025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/9/2025

M/s B M Store
represented by Shri Kholie Hania, attorney holder of M/s B M Store, Son of Shri
Kholie Tayo, a permanent resident of Upper Jumi, PO Kimin, PS Kimin, Papum Pare
District, Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors
represented through the Secretary, Urban Development, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh,
Itanagar. 2:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Papum Pare District
Yupia
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Executive Engineer
Age: 0
Occupation :
District urban Development Agency
Papum Pare District
Yupia
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

4:The Director / Registrar
Age: 0
Occupation :
Satyajit Ray Film and Television institute Arunachal Pradesh
Camp Office E.M. Bypass
Panchasayar Kolkata 70009

Advocate for the Petitioner : Puto Bui, Talu Kamsar, S K Deori, Tsering Lhamu

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP),

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 25-02-2026

Heard Mr. Puto Bui, learned counsel for the petitioner, who fairly submits that the writ petition has become infructuous, however, he prays for a liberty to file appropriate writ petition by an appropriate petitioner in the event occasion arises.

Ms P. Pangu, learned State counsel for the State respondents is present.

Accordingly, writ petition stands closed on having been become infructuous.

Needless to observe that any party, who is aggrieved by the action of appointment of employees in the institute, may file appropriate application, if so advised.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant