

GAHC030007982025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/146/2025

Sh. Lalbiaksanga
S/o Chalkima
R/o H/No. B-21/2, Ramthar Veng, Upper Galilee near Mizoram UPC Church, Aizawl

VERSUS

The State of Mizoram and 5 Ors.
r/b the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram, Aizawl

2:The Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram

Health and Family Welfare Department
Aizawl

3:The Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram

Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms
Aizawl

4:The Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram

Finance Department
Aizawl

5:The Principal Director

Health and Family Welfare Department
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl

6:The Director of Health Services
Health and Family Welfare Department

Govt. of Mizoram
Aizaw

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms Dinari T Azyu

Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA, Mizoram for R 1-5

**BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG
ORDER**

28.11.2025

Heard Mr. Victor L. Ralte, learned counsel for the petitioner who has filed the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India challenging the legality of the letter dated 19.02.2025 which had directed the department to redraw the inter-se-seniority list Group 'B', 'C' & 'D'.

The learned counsel submitted that the case of the petitioner is that he was initially appointed as the Laboratory Technician vide Office Order dated 11.10.2001 under the NIDDCP (CSS) on co-terminus basis and was regularized on 26.02.2010 and confirmed on 22.02.2017 w.e.f., 01.02.2017. The petitioner was also granted first financial upgradation in terms of the MACPS 2010 w.e.f., 11.10.2011. The petitioner had considered himself to be the senior most, amongst the Laboratory Technicians and was accordingly eligible for promotion to senior Laboratory Technician in terms of the Recruitment Rules of 2018. The learned counsel also submitted that the petitioner was also due for the second financial upgradation w.e.f., 12.10.2021. However, while the petitioner was expecting his upgradation

and promotion, the impugned order was issued by the respondents after the petitioner had already rendered 15 years of regular service and hence the writ petition.

Issue notice of motion to the respondents Ms. Vanneihsiami, learned Govt. Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the State respondents.

No need to issue formal notice, requisite copies of the writ petition to be duly furnished to the learned Govt. Advocate.

List the matter **after 4 (four) weeks.**

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant