

GAHC030007132023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/166/2023

Sh. Lalramtiana
S/o Rualkhuma (L),
R/o Mission Veng, Aizawl, Mizoram

VERSUS

The State of Mizoram and 5 Ors.
Represented by the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram 2:The Secretary to
the Govt. of Mizoram
Higher and Technical Education Department
Aizawl
Mizoram

3:The Director
Higher and Technical Education Department
Aizawl
Mizoram

4:The Deputy Director (Administration)
Directorate of Higher and Technical Education
Aizawl
Mizoram

5:The Assistant General Manager
State Bank of India
Main Branch
Aizawl

6:Sh. Raymond Lalmuanpuia (L)
Substituted by H. Lalthlengliana
S/o Liandawla (L)
R/o Tanhril
Aizawl
Mizora

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr Zoramchhana

Advocate for the Respondent : Mr. L.H. Lianhrima, Sr.Adv. for R6

Linked Case : RFA/54/2023

Sh. Lalramtiana
S/o Rualkhuma (L)

R/o Mission Veng
Aizawl
Mizoram

VERSUS

The State of Mizoram and 5 Ors.
Represented by the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram

2:The Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Higher and Technical Education Department
Aizawl
Mizoram

3:The Director
Higher and Technical Education Department
Aizawl
Mizoram

4:The Deputy Director (Administration)
Directorate of Higher and Technical Education
Aizawl
Mizoram

5:The Assistant General Manager
State Bank of India
Main Branch
Aizawl

6:Sh. Raymond Lalmuanpuia (L)
Substituted by H. Lalthlengliana
S/o Liandawla (L)

R/o Tanhril
Aizawl
Mizoram

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr Zoramchhana
Advocate for the Respondent : Mr. L.H. Lianhrima
Sr.Adv. for R6

ORDER

BEFORE

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

02.05.2024

Heard Mr. Zoramchhana, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Ms. Ruth Lalruatfeli, learned counsel for the respondent No. 6.

It appears from the office note dated 01.05.2024 that the service report in respect of respondent No. 5 has not yet received till date.

Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the respondent No. 6 was the plaintiff in the main suit whereas, the learned counsel for the present applicant was the defendant No. 5 in the said suit. Therefore, it is submitted that notice to respondent No. 5 may be awaited in the present Interlocutory Application.

Learned counsel for the respondent No. 6 raise no objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the applicant and prays for listing this matter for hearing after 2 (two) weeks.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels for the parties, let this matter be listed after 2 (two) weeks. However, in the meanwhile, the service report in respect of respondent No. 5 may be awaited. If no report is received before the next date fixed, the question of waiving of the notice on respondent No. 5 will be considered on the next date.

List accordingly after 2 (two) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant