



GAHC030006742024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/114/2024

Sh. R. Lalramzauva and 10 Ors.
S/o R. Hranchhahana (L)
R/o Lunglawn, Lunglei, Mizoram.

2: Sh. Domana
S/o Rochhunga (L)
R/o Lunglawn
Lunglei
Mizoram

3: Smt. Thansiami
D/o Romuala (L)
R/o Lunglawn
Lunglei
Mizoram

4: Sh. Neihkima
S/o Zasala (L)
R/o Lunglawn
Lunglei
Mizoram.

5: Sh. J. Laltanpuia
S/o Chhanthanga
R/o Lunglawn
Lunglei
Mizoram.

6: Sh. F. Lalthanzauva
S/o Bawikhama
R/o Lunglawn
Lunglei
Mizoram.



7: Sh. Thanchhunga
S/o Hrangnawna (L)
R/o Lunglawn
Lunglei
Mizoram.

8: Sh. T. Lalmuanpuia
S/o Lalhmunsiamia
R/o Lunglawn
Lunglei
Mizoram.

9: Sh. K. Hrangliana
S/o Hranghleia (L)
R/o Lunglawn
Lunglei
Mizoram.

10: Sh. F. Zohmingsanga
S/o F. Kailiana
R/o Lunglawn
Lunglei
Mizoram

11: Sh. K. Lalringa
S/o K. Thanghuta (L)
R/o Lunglawn
Lunglei
Mizoram

VERSUS

State of Mizoram r/b the Chief Secy. to the Govt. of Mizoram and 8 Ors.
Aizawl, Mizoram.

2:The Secretary
Land Revenue and Settlement Dept.
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram.

3:The Secretary
Public Works Dept.
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram.

4:The Engineer-in-Chief



Public Works Dept.
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram.

5:The Chief Engineer (Roads)
Public Works Dept.
Tuikhuahtlang
Aizawl
Mizoram.

6:The Superintending Engineer
Public Works Dept.
Lunglei Circle
Lunglei
Mizoram.

7:The Executive Engineer
Public Works Dept.
Lunglei Division
Lunglei
Mizoram.

8:The Chief Executive Officer
Mizoram Rural Roads Development Agency
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram.

9:The Deputy Commissioner/District Collector
Lunglei District
Lunglei
Mizoram

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Lalfakawma

Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA, Mizoram for R1-9



**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NELSON SAILO
ORDER**

Date : 27.03.2026

Heard Mr. T. Lalzekima, learned counsel for the petitioners, who submits that by filing this writ petition, the petitioners are claiming payment of compensation for construction of Luangmual to Vaisam PMGSY Road.

The learned counsel submits that similarly situated persons had earlier approached this Court through WP(C) No. 124 of 2023 and this Court vide Order dated 11.06.2024 had disposed of the writ petition by directing the respondent authorities concerned to conduct a verification and to pay the compensation to the petitioners therein, if it was found that the land had been affected by the road construction. The learned counsel submits that the only difference in the present case is that the present petitioners are Periodic Patta holders while the petitioners in WP(C) No. 124 of 2023 were LSC holders.

Since, the learned Government Advocate, who is handling the matter is said to be on legs in a different Court, the matter stands adjourned to be listed again in the next week.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant