

GAHC030006312024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/12/2024

Sh. H.L. Rinmawia R/b Biakthansanga and 3 Ors.
R/b Biakthansanga
R/o Pawlrang
Saitual District

2: Smt. Vanlalchhungi
R/b Biakthansanga
R/o Pawlrang
Saitual District

3: Sh. K. Lallawmsanga
S/o Suakthuama
R/o Pawlrang
Saitual District

4: Sh. K. Lalmangaiha
R/o Pawlrang
Saitual District

VERSUS

Sh. Khilli Ram Meena, Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram and 5 Ors.
Aizawl

2: Sh. Vanlalmawia
Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Land Revenue and Settlement Deptt.
MINECo
Khatla
Aizawl

3: Sh. Lallawmawma
Competent Authority for Land Acquisition (CALA)/ Addl Dy Commissioner
Saitual District

4:Sh. Anurag Jain
Secretary to the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
Govt of India
Transport Bhawan
Parliament Street
New Delhi

5:Sh. Krishan Kumar
Managing Director
National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (NHIDCL)
3rd Floor
PTI Building
4 Parliament Street
New Delhi

6:Sh. Paleti Brahmanandam
General Manager (LA and Admin)
NHIDCL 3rd Floor T 86
Tuikhuahtlang Aizaw

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms Valentina Laldinpuii

Advocate for the Respondent : Ms. Lalngaihsaki Fanai for R3

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

01.08.2025

Heard Ms. Valentina Laldinpuii, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners. Also heard Ms. Lalngaihsaki Fanai, learned counsel for respondent No. 3.

Ms. Valentina Laldinpuii, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that respondent No. 4 i.e. the Secretary to the Ministry of Road,

Transport and Highways, Government of India, was transferred and in his place new incumbent has joined and as such, she prays that she may be allowed to file an impleading application in the matter.

Prayer is allowed.

Further, Office Note dated 12.06.2025 reflects that service upon the respondent No. 6 is complete. However, a subsequent office order dated 25.06.2025 reflects that notice upon respondent Nos. 4 and 5 has returned unserved with postal remarks '*return to sender*'.

As such, it is directed that the petitioners may take fresh steps for service of notice upon respondent No. 5 after finding out the proper address of the said respondent.

List the matter after two weeks on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant