

GAHC030007562025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Linked Case : Cont.Cas(C)/12/2024

Sh. H.L. Rinmawia R/b Biakthansanga and 3 Ors.
R/b Biakthansanga
R/o Pawlrang
Saitual District

2: Smt. Vanlalchhungi
R/b Biakthansanga
R/o Pawlrang
Saitual District

3: Sh. K. Lallawmsanga
S/o Suakthuama
R/o Pawlrang
Saitual District

4: Sh. K. Lalmangaiha
R/o Pawlrang
Saitual District
VERSUS

Sh. Khilli Ram Meena
Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram and 6 Ors.
Aizawl

2: Sh. Vanlalmawia
Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Land Revenue and Settlement Deptt.
MINECo
Khatla
Aizawl

3: Sh. Lallawmawma
Competent Authority for Land Acquisition (CALA)/ Addl Dy Commissioner

Saitual District

4:Sh. Anurag Jain
Secretary to the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
Govt of India
Transport Bhawan
Parliament Street
New Delhi

5:Sh. Krishan Kumar
Managing Director
National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (NHIDCL)
3rd Floor
PTI Building
4 parliament Street
New Delhi

6:Sh. Paleti Brahmanandam
General Manager (LA and Admin)
NHIDCL 3rd Floor T 86
Tuikhuahtlang Aizawl

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms Valentina Laldinpuii
Advocate for the Respondent : Ms. Lalngaihsaki Fanai for R3

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR
JUDGMENT / ORDER**

Date : -18.11.2025

Heard Ms. Valentina Laldinpuii, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. Samuel Vanlalhriata Chhangte, learned counsel appearing for the respondent Nos. 5 & 6 and Ms. Lalngaihsaki Fanai, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 3.

By the order passed today in I.A.(C) No. 205/2025, the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 have been discharged of their liability in this contempt petition.

Ms. Lalngaihsaki Fanai, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No. 3 has submitted that she is awaiting instructions from the respondent No. 3 regarding the refund of the cess amount deducted as reflected in Table-2 of paragraph-4 of the order passed in W.P.(C) No. 1/2024. She prays for an accommodation for 2 (two) weeks time to have her instruction.

Prayer is allowed.

The respondent No.3 shall file affidavit in response to this contempt petition within a period of 2(two) weeks. It is noticed that the AD card with regard to the service of notice on the respondent No.1 & 2 have returned back after service. Yet there is no appearance on their behalf today. The respondent No. 1 & 2 are given an opportunity to ensure appearance on their behalf on the next date of listing failing which appropriate order shall be passed by this Court.

List this matter again in the **3rd week of January, 2026** along with the affidavit proposed to be filed by the respondent No.3 and also to enable the respondent No. 1 & 2 to enter their appearance. The service report upon the respondent No.7 shall also be verified by the Registry to place before this Court.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant