

GAHC030005642024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : PIL/5/2024

Smt. Vanramchhuangi
R/o Chaltlang Dawrkawn,
Aizawl, Mizoram.

VERSUS

The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Mizoram and 13 Ors.
Aizawl, Mizoram 2:The Secretary
Finance Dept.
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram.

3:The Secretary
Planning Dept.
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram

4:The Secretary
Public Works Dept.
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram.

5:The Engineer in Chief
Public Works Dept.
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram.

6:The Principal Secretary
Environment
Forests and Climate Change Dept.

Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram.

7:The Divisional Forest Officer
Aizawl Forest Division
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl
Mizoram.

8:National Bank of Agriculture and Rural Development r/b the Chairman
NABARD
India
Office at- Plot C-24
G Block
Bandra Kula complex
BKC Road
Bandra East
Bombay
Maharashtra.

9:Chief General Manager
National Bank of Agriculture and Rural Development
NABARD Regional Office
Mizoram
MINECO.

10:Sh. F. Lalliansanga
R/o Aizawl Venglai
Aizawl
Mizoram

11:Sh. P.C. Lalawmpuia
S/o Sh. Biakliana
R/o Mission Veng
Aizawl
Mizoram

12:Sh. Vanlalsanga
R/o Mission Veng
Aizawl
Mizoram.

13:Sh. Zoramchhana
R/o Electric Veng
Aizawl
Mizoram.

14:Sh. L. Nghakliana
R/o Chanmari West
Aizawl
Mizora

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Joseph Lalchhanhima Renthlei

Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA, Mizoram for R1-7

Linked Case : I.A.(Civil)/158/2024

Smt. Vanramchhuangi
R/o Chaltlang Dawrkawn
Aizawl

VERSUS

The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram and 13 Ors.
Aizawl

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Joseph Lalchhanhima Renthlei
Advocate for the Respondent :

B E F O R E

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NELSON SAILO
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG
ORDER

16.10.2024
(Nelson Sailo, J)

Ms. Rosy Manlawmsangi appears for the petitioner and Ms. Lalnunhlui, learned Govt. Advocate appears for respondent Nos. 1 to 7 and she prays for

further 2 (two) weeks time to obtain instructions and to file counter-affidavit. None appears for the remaining respondents.

It is seen that respondent Nos. 9 to 14 have been served notice by way of dasti and notice was declared to be complete on 25.09.2024.

In respect of respondent No. 8, as directed by this Court, Registry has put up the postal track consignment report, which shows that the notice sent was delivered on 23.09.2024. As such, notice is also declared to be complete on respondent No. 8.

As prayed for, list the matter again **after 2 (two) weeks** to enable the respondents to file their counter-affidavit.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant