

GAHC030005502025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/143/2025

The State of Mizoram
R/b the Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram, Public Works Department, Aizawl

VERSUS

Mrs Sapzingi and 7 Ors.
R/o Nisapui Village, Kolasib District

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. C Lalfakzuala

Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA, Mizoram for R7 & R8

Linked Case : LA.App./9/2025

State of Mizoram
R/b the Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Public Works Department
Aizawl

VERSUS

Smt Sapzingi and 7 Ors.
W/o Zatawna
R/o Nisapui Village
Kolasib District

2:Sh VL Renglana
S/o Vanlalsiama
R/o Nisapui Village

Kolasib District

3:Sh Lalzika
S/o Dengi
R/o Nisapui Village
Kolasib District

4:Sh Lalrinliana
H/o Lalrinkimi
R/o Nisapui Village
Kolasib District

5:Sh Ramzauva
S/o Rova
R/o Nisapui Village
Kolasib District

6:Sh Lalngaihawma
R/o Nisapui Village
Kolasib District

7:The Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Land Revenue and Settlement Department
Aizawl

8:The District Collector
Kolasib
Mizoram

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr C Lalfakzuala
Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA
Mizoram for R7 & R8

Linked Case : I.A.(Civil)/211/2025

The State of Mizoram
R/b the Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Public Works Department
Aizawl
Mizoram

VERSUS

Smt Sapzingi and 7 Ors.
R/o Nisapui Village

Kolasib District

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. C Lalfakzuala
Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA
Mizoram for R7 & R8

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG
ORDER

18.11.2025

Heard Mr. C. Lalfakzuala, learned counsel for the applicant, who submits that A/D card upon respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5 & 6 are served and returned. While A/D card upon respondent No. 4 is still awaited.

It is seen that none appeared on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5 & 6. We may await for the said respondents to be duly represented.

Ms. Lalnunhlui, learned Govt. Advocate submits that the Revenue Department and the District Collector have been arrayed as respondent Nos. 7 & 8 in the instant I.A however, since the Revenue Department and the District Collector are the departments under the State Govt. their names may be struck off from being arrayed as respondent Nos. 7 & 8.

Mr. C. Lalfakzuala, learned counsel for the applicant, submits that the respondent Nos. 7 & 8 have been mistakenly arrayed and pray that their names may be struck off.

Accordingly, the names of respondent Nos. 7 & 8 are to be struck off, registry to do the needeful.

List the matter **after 3 (three) weeks.**

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant