

GAHC030005082025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/22/2025

Vanlalhlanaawmi and Anr.

D/o C.Bawlliana R/o Govt. High School Quarter-II, Teikhang, Saitual District 2:

Juliet Lalsiamliani

D/o B.Sangthantluanga

R/o Electric Veng

near Power House

Lungle

VERSUS

Sh Khilli Ram Meena and 4 Ors.

The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram, Aizawl

2:Sh David Lalthantluanga

Special Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram

School Education Department

Aizawl

3:Sh K.Lalthawmmawia

Commissioner and Secretary to Govt. of Mizoram

Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms

Aizawl

4:Sh Vanlaldina Fanai

Commissioner and Secretary to Govt. of Mizoram

Finance Department

Aizawl

5:Smt Angela Zothanpuui

The Director

School Education Department

Govt. of Mizoram

Aizawl

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms Dinari T Azyu

Advocate for the Respondent : Ms Lalnunhlui for R1-R5

**BEFORE
HONORABLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER
JUDGMENT / ORDER**

Date : 07.05.2026

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner Mr. Victor L Ralte. Also heard Ms. Lalnunhlui, learned Government Advocate appearing for all the respondents.

The learned Government Advocate submits that separate affidavits on behalf of all the respondents have to be filed and that she may be given a week's time to file the same.

Upon going through the record, this Court has also seen that there is a direction by this Court in order dated 05.08.2024 that if the petitioner are found to have continued in their service, they shall be granted the benefit of the provisions of the Notification dated 19.07.2013 without delay.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petitioners are similarly situated with the petitioners in W.P.(C) 52/2022. And the respondents have not preferred any appeal or review against the judgment and order passed in W.P.(C) 52/2022 and in fact the State respondents have complied with the direction of this Court in W.P.(C) 52/2022 by granting the benefit of the Notification dated 19.07.2013 to the petitioners in W.P.(C) 52/2022.

The counsel for the respondents shall therefore get a written instruction on the next date as to whether the petitioners herein are similarly situated to the petitioners in W.P.(C) 52/2022 and the reasons why the petitioners in the

present petition should not be given similar benefit.

List the matter after one week.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant