

GAHC030004532022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : PIL/13/2022

Sh. Zomuanpuia
R/o Venglai East
Kolasib District, Mizoram

VERSUS

M/S Sadguru Engineers and Allied Services, Pvt. Ltd. and 5 Ors
R/b Mukesh Jalan, Director,
406, Hanuman Tower, 4th Floor,
Sati Jaymati Road, Athgaon
Gauhati - 781001

2:The State of Mizoram
R/b The Secretary
Public Works Department
Mizoram
Aizawl

3:The Engineer-in-Chief
Public Works Department
Mizoram
Aizawl

4:The Chief Engineer (Roads)
Public Works Department
Tuikhuahtlang
Aizawl

5:The Superintending Engineer
Public Works Department
Western Circle
Kawrthah Division
Mamit District
Mizora

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. Rosalynn L Hmar

Advocate for the Respondent : Mrs. H Lalmalsawmi (GA, Mizoram) for R2-R6

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA
ORDER**

Date : 14.03.2024

(Soumitra Saikia, J)

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

The respondent No. 1, who is the Contractor, who has been awarded the instant contract work filed an affidavit stating that 61% approximately has been completed over the entire project and at present, 60 work force personnel are present at site and are working round the clock. However, in the affidavit there is no statement or averment which is made to show on what basis the percentage of 61% has been arrived at by the Contractor. That apart, there is no averment as to when the work is likely to be completed as per their assessment. All these particulars be brought on the record by the respondent No. 1 within a period of 2 (two) weeks from today.

Matter be listed **after 2 (two) weeks** on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

The respondent will file the affidavit as directed, supported by all the relevant documents.

List accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant