



GAHC030004232025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/104/2025

The New India Assurance Co. Ltd., Aizawl
Through its Branch Manager, Aizawl Branch, Chanmari, Aizawl, Mizoram

VERSUS

Sh Roliana and Anr.
S/o H.Remruatpuia, R/o Venghlun, Thenzawl, Serchhip District, Mizoram 2:Sh
Laltanpui

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Lalfakawma

Advocate for the Respondent :

Linked Case : MACApp./12/2025

The New India Assurance Co. Ltd.
Aizawl
Through The Branch Manager
Aizawl Branch
R/o Chanmari
Aizawl
Mizoram

VERSUS

Sh Roliana and Anr.
S/o H.Remruatpuia
R/o Venghlun
Thenzawl



Serchhip District
Mizoram

2:Sh Laltanpuia
S/o Khuangthuama
R/o Kanan Veng
Thenzawl
Serchhip District
Mizoram

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Lalfakawma
Advocate for the Respondent : Mr. L.H. Lianhrima
Sr.Adv. for R 1

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA
ORDER

21.01.2026

Heard Mr. T. Lalzekima, learned counsel for the applicant, who submits that there is a delay of 189 days in filing the appeal against the impugned Judgment & Order dated 27.09.2024 passed by the MACT, Aizawl in MACT Case No. 38 of 2020. No one appears for respondent No. 2. Despite issuance of notice to the respondent No. 2, there is no return of A/D card nor unserved notice from the respondent No. 2.

In the order dated 28.11.2025 passed by this Court, it has been stated that if service upon the respondent No.2 Is not complete by the next date, the prayer for condonation of delay may be considered in his absence. The



respondent No. 2 is the owner of the accident vehicle.

Ms. Ruth Lalruatfeli, learned counsel appears for the respondent No. 1 (claimant) submits that she has got no objection to the delay being condoned.

On considering the grounds provided in para 2 and 3 of the application and keeping in view the submission made by the counsel for respondent No. 1, the delay of 189 days is condoned.

I.A. is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant