



GAHC030004222019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(AIZAWL BENCH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C) 16/2019

1:S Makhu and 22 Ors.
S/o S.Helei, R/o Zawngling, Saiha District,

2: C Beibiahneisa
Zawngling
Saiha District

3: L C Luabei
Zawngling
Saiha District

4: J Pathaisa
Zawngling
Saiha Distric

VERSUS

1:Lalnunmawia Chuaungo and 5 Ors.
Chief Secretary to Govt of Mizoram, Aizawl

2:Bhupesh Chaudhury
Chief Secretary to Govt of Mizoram
Aizawl

3:Brig. Birender SIngh
Chief Engineer
Project Pushpak
C/o 99 APO

4:MN Ansari
Executive Engineer
Officer Commanding 71 RCC
BRTF



C/o 99 APO

5:P Sama
Executive Engineer
MADC
Slaha

6:N Pachhunga
Senior Revenue Officer
Land Revenue and Settlement Department
MADC
Siaha Distric

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR LALFAKAWMA

Advocate for the Respondent :

Linked Case : I.A.(Civil) 141/2019

1:Makhu and 22 Ors.
aizawl

VERSUS

1:Lalnunmawia Chuaungo and 5 Ors.
Aizawl

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR Lalfakawma
Advocate for the Respondent : Mary Lalruatkimi Kiangte

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NELSON SAILO

ORDER

Date : 03-06-2020

Heard Mr. Rosangzuala Ralte, the learned counsel for the petitioners and Ms. Mary L.



Khiangte, the learned counsel for the respondent No. 2. Also heard Mr. B. Lalramenga, the learned counsel for the respondent No. 6 and Mr. S. Vanlalhriata, who appears on behalf of Ms. Zairemsangpuii, the learned CGC for respondent Nos. 3 and 7.

This contempt petition is filed by the petitioners alleging willful and deliberate violation of the direction passed by this Court vide Order dated 28.05.2019 in WP(C) No. 129/2018. Against the show cause notice issued to the respondents, the respondent Nos. 2 and 6 have filed their show cause reply.

At the outset, Mr. B. Lalramenga, the learned counsel submits that he may be allowed to file an additional affidavit in view of the verification having already been conducted as per the affidavit of the respondent No. 2. He submits that certain query has been raised pursuant to the verification on the status of the land Passes of the petitioners and therefore, the respondent No. 6 may be allowed to file an additional affidavit to clarify the position.

On a perusal of the proceeding of the hearing of the petitioners held on 13.11.2019, annexed to the affidavit of the respondent No. 2, as annexure-IV, it is seen that an observation was made to the effect that in order to take a decision whether the land Passes of the petitioners are valid and genuine, giving heritable and transferable rights to the petitioners, the Senior Revenue and Settlement Officer, MADC was requested to submit clarifications on the points giving therein.

In view of above, three weeks time is granted to the respondent No. 6 to file an additional affidavit.

List the case again on **24th June, 2020**.



JUDGE

Comparing Assistant