

GAHC030002382025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/63/2025

Sh. H.T. Haupianga and 2 Ors.
H/o PC Lalremruata,
R/o Bethlehem Vengthlang,
Aizawl, Mizoram 2: Smt. Vanlalawmpuii
R/o Bethlehem Vengthlang
Aizawl
Mizoram

3: Smt.. Damaris Vanlalsangi
Bethlehem Vengthlang
Aizawl
Mizora

VERSUS

Sh. Thangmama and 5 Ors.
S/o Zorama
R/o World Banl Road. Bethlehem Vengthlang, Aizawl, Mizoram 2:The State of
Mizoram
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl

3:The Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Power and Electric Department
Aizawl
Mizoram

4:The Engineer-in-Chief (SO)
Power and Electricity Department
Aizawl
Mizoram

5:The Executive Engineer
Power and Electric Department

Aizawl
Mizoram

6:Sh. Lalthantluanga
R/o World Bank Road
Bethlehem Vengthlang
Aizawl
Mizora

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Joseph Lalchhanhima Renthlei

Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA, Mizoram for R2-R5

Linked Case : RFA/14/2025

Sh. H.T. Haupianga and 2 Ors.
H/o PC Lalremruati (L)
R/o Bethlehem Vengthlang
Aizawl
Mizoram

2: Vanlalawmpuii
R/b H.T. Haupianga
R/o Bethlehem Vengthlang
aizawl
Mizoram

3: Damaris Vanlalsangi
R/b H.T. Haupianga
Bethlehem Venthlang
aizawl
Mizoram
VERSUS

Sh. Thangmama and 5 Ors.
S/o Zorama
R/o World Bank Road
Bethlehem Vengthlang
Aizawl
Mizoram

2:The State of Mizoram
R/b Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram

Aizawl

3:Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram
Power and Electric Department

Aizawl

4:Engineer-inChief (SO)
Power and Electric Department
Govt. of Mizoram
Aizawl

5:Executive Engineer
Power and Electric Department
Aizawl Power Circle
Aizawl

6:Mr. Lalthantluanga
R/o World Bank Road
Bethlehem Vengthlang
Aizawl

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Joseph Lalchhanhima Renthlei
Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA
Mizoram for R2 - R5

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV KUMAR SHARMA
ORDER

08.06.2026

Heard Ms. Rosy Manlawmsangi, learned counsel appearing for the applicants/appellants and Mrs. Vanneihsiami, learned Govt. Advocate appearing for the State Respondents, i.e., respondent Nos. 2 to 5.

As far as respondent Nos. 1 and 6 is concerned, it appears that pursuant

to orders passed by this Court, attempt was made to affect dasti service, but the said respondents could not be located and hence, dasti service could not be affected as per affidavit filed on behalf of the applicants/appellants. Subsequently, newspaper publication has already been made on 09.12.2025, but till date, no appearance has been made on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 6.

Hence, service is deemed to be complete in respect of the said respondent Nos. 1 and 6.

List the matter again on **25.06.2026**. In the meantime, the State Respondents may file objection, if any.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant