

GAHC030002362025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/43/2025

Sh. Punyo Chandra Chakma
S/o Late Biraj Moni Chakma
R/o- Village Borapansury-I Dist.- Lawngtlai, Mizoram-796770.

VERSUS

Union of India and 4 Ors.
R/b its Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, Govt. of India, New Delhi-110001.

2:The Inspector General (IG)
Border Security Force (BSF)
Mizoram and Cachar Frontier
Masimpur
Silchar
Assam - 788025.

3:The Commanding Officer
Border Security Force
Borapansury Out Post
Chakma Autonomous District Council
District- Lawngtlai
Mizoram-796770.

4:The Deputy Commissioner
Electric Veng
District-Lawngtlai
Mizoram-796891.

5:The Land Revenue and Settlement Dept.
Chakma Autonomous District Council (CADC)
District-Lawngtlai
Mizoram-796770

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. B. Chakma

Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA, Mizoram for R 4 & 5

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NELSON SAILO
ORDER

19.08.2025

Mr. B. Chakma, learned counsel for the petitioner appears through RVC and submits that he has not received the land allotment Order said to have been issued in favour of the BSF as was directed vide Order dated 29.04.2025.

Ms. Zairemsangpuii, learned CGC appears for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 submits that the allotment Order has been incorporated in the counter-affidavit which she has filed on 04.08.2025. Mr. B. Chakma, learned counsel for the petitioner, however submits that he has not received a copy of the counter-affidavit.

It is seen that someone has acknowledged receipt of the counter-affidavit on behalf of the petitioner but no name is mentioned except for a signature which is dated 04.08.2025.

Be that as it may, the learned CGC shall furnish an extra copy of the counter-affidavit to Mr. B. Chakma.

Ms. Vanneihsiami, learned Government Advocate, appears for the respondent No. 4 and submits that she may be given further 3 (three) weeks' time to obtain instructions and to file counter-affidavit.

Prayer is allowed.

It is seen that although the learned Government Advocate was said to have accepted notice on behalf of the respondent No. 5 but today she submits that since the respondent No. 5 is the Chakma Autonomous District Council, she cannot represent the respondent No. 5.

Under the circumstances, the petitioner is allowed to serve notice upon the respondent No. 5 by way of *dasti* within 10 (ten) days from today through the Registry of this Court and thereafter file an affidavit as proof of service.

List this matter again after 3 (three) weeks, on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant