

GAHC030002172026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Review.Pet./1/2026

Sh. PC Lianngura and Anr.
S/o Darchhunga (L)
R/o Tuikual North 'D' Mual,
Aizawl2: Sh. Lalchuana (now dead) r/b his son Sh. Lalrinfela
R/o Venghnuai
Aizaw

VERSUS

Sh. C. Zoramliana
L/r of Smt. H. Lalhmingthangi
R/o Tuikual North, Section - II
Near Tuikual North Presbyterian Church, Aizawl

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms Dinari T Azyu

Advocate for the Respondent :

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS
O R D E R

23.04.2026

Heard Mr. Victor L. Ralte, learned counsel for the review petitioner.

The petitioner invoking the provisions of Order 47 Rule 1 CPC read with

Section 114 CPC, is seeking review of the judgment and order dated 03.03.2026 passed by this Court in RFA No. 1 of 2024.

It is inter-alia contended that the impugned judgment was passed taking into account two documents, which are actually not part of the record and not exhibited during the trial and therefore, in the absence of additional evidence at the appellate stage, perhaps the court erred in taking the same into account.

It is submitted that the aforesaid error is an error apparent on the face of the record and hence, review jurisdiction has been invoked.

The learned counsel further submits that the appellate decree has not yet been put into execution.

Issue notice upon the sole respondent, returnable within 3(three) weeks.

The review petitioner is directed to take steps for service of notice upon the sole respondent by speed post.

The petitioner is also at liberty to additionally resort to dasti service, if so desired.

Till the next/returnable date, the appellate judgment and decree dated 03.03.2026 may not be put into execution.

The Registry may put up the case record, which was called for in connection with the appeal, if it has not been sent back in the meantime.

List this matter after 3(three) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant