



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/14/2026

Sh. James Lalzawmmawia
S/o Tlangthanmawii (L)
R/o Zemabawk, Aizawl, Mizoram

VERSUS

The State of Mizoram and 4 Ors.
R/b the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram, Aizawl \

2:The Secretary to the Govt. of Mizoram

3:The Director

4:The Settlement Officer

5:Smt. Lalrinzual

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr Zoramchhana

Advocate for the Respondent : Addl. AG/GA, Mizoram for R 1-4



BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG
ORDER

27.02.2026

Heard Mr. Zoramchhana, learned counsel for the petitioner who has filed the instant writ petition for issuance of appropriate writ or order to the respondent for the issuance of the LSC No. 103101/01/829 to the petitioner.

The learned counsel submitted that the petitioner is the rightful owner of the LSC No. 103101/01/829 of 2010 since he has obtained heirship certificate in respect of the said property after the death of his mother on 06.10.2023. However, when the petitioner approached the respondent for issuance of the said LSC No. 103101/01/829 of 2010 he discovered that the said LSC was lying in the office of the respondent to be mutated in name of respondent No. 5. Thereafter, in spite of the respondent No. 4 in its decision dated 25.11.2025 had decided to return the LSC No. 103101/01/829 of 2010 to the petitioner, but the same was not done till date, in spite of a request made by the petitioner to return the same, vide his letter dated 16.01.2026, in terms of minutes of the meeting held on 19.11.2025. Due to the non-return of LSC No. 103101/01/829 of 2010 the petitioner has approached this Court for the respondent No. 4 to take steps in terms of the minutes of the meeting which was held on 19.11.2025.

2. Ms. Vanneihsiami, learned Govt. Advocate submits that the instant writ petition can be disposed of, if this Court directs the respondent No. 4 to address the said letter dated 16.01.2026 within a reasonable time.



3. Having considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for both the parties and considering the limited nature of the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds it appropriate to disposed of the matter at the motion stage, by directing the respondent – 4 to consider the letter dated 16.01.2026 wherein the petitioner had prayed for the release of the LSC No. 829 of 2010 in terms of the order dated 25.11.2025, within a period of 3 (three) weeks from date of receiving a certified true copy of this order.

4. Accordingly, WP(C) No. 14/2026 stands allowed and disposed

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant