

GAHC020005732025



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH**

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/164/2025

THE ORIENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.
HAVING ITS REGISTERED ORIENTAL HOUSE A-25/27, ASAF ALI ROAD, NEW
DELHI-110002 AND REGIONAL DIVISIONAL OFFICES IN SEVERAL PLACES,
INCLUDING A REGIONAL OFFICE AT GUWAHATI, ASSAM AND DIVISIONAL
OFFICE AT DIMAPUR, IN THE STATE OF NAGALAND

VERSUS

SMTI. NCHUMBENI LOTHAN AND 6 ORS
WIFE OF LATE ELLIS LOTHAN (REPRESENTING RESPONDENTS NO. 2,3,4 AND
5)

PERMANENT RESIDENT OF DOYANG SOUTH WING, DOYANG HYDEL
PROJECT, B.P.O. WOKHA, DISTRICT- WOKHA, NAGALAND. PRESENTLY
RESIDING AT SIGNAL BASTI, DIMAPUR NAGALAND 2:SHRI LONGSHIO
LOTHAN

SON OF ELLIS LOTHAN
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF DOYANG SOUTH WING
DOYANG HYDEL PROJECT
B.P.O. WOKHA
DISTRICT- WOKHA
NAGALAND. PRESENTLY RESIDING AT SIGNAL BASTI
DIMAPUR NAGALAND

3:SHRI MERIBEMO LOTHAN
SON OF ELLIS LOTHAN
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF DOYANG SOUTH WING
DOYANG HYDEL PROJECT
B.P.O. WOKHA
DISTRICT- WOKHA
NAGALAND. PRESENTLY RESIDING AT SIGNAL BASTI
DIMAPUR NAGALAND

4:SHRI RENBI LOTHAN
SON OF LATE ELLIS LOTHAN

PERMANENT RESIDENT OF DOYANG SOUTH WING
DOYANG HYDEL PROJECT
B.P.O. WOKHA
DISTRICT- WOKHA
NAGALAND. PRESENTLY RESIDING AT SIGNAL BASTI
DIMAPUR NAGALAND

5:SMTI. KHONZANI LOTH
DAUGHTER OF LATE ELLIS LOTH
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF DOYANG SOUTH WING
DOYANG HYDEL PROJECT
B.P.O. WOKHA
DISTRICT- WOKHA
NAGALAND. PRESENTLY RESIDING AT SIGNAL BASTI
DIMAPUR NAGALAND

6:SHRI GURDEEP SINGH
SON OF TEJA SINGH
RESIDENT OF SEHAJ TRANSPORT
NC BORA COMPLEX
BIHARBARI
KAMRUP-781028 ASSAM (OWNER)

7:SHRI TIKEN BORA
SON OF P.BORA
RESIDENT OF DIGHALI PATHAR MATIKHOLA GAON
PO AND PS BORPATHAR
DISTRICT-GOLAGHAT
SARUPATHAR
GOLAGHAT-785602
ASSAM (DRIVER

Advocate for the Petitioner : KIM PIENYU,

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER

ORDER

Date : 14.10.2025

The learned counsel for the applicant Mr. Laknyei Phom is present.

The office note dated 03.10.2025 indicates that notice to respondent No.1 has returned unserved with the remark "insufficient address". The appellant/applicant shall verify the proper address and take fresh steps.

List the matter after **4(four)** weeks.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

Comparing Assistant