



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH**

Case No. : COP(C)/22/2025

SMTI. CHUBAMONGLA AO
SWEEPER (CONTINGENCY) UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SENIOR ESTATE
OFFICER, PWD (H), MOKOKCHUNG, NAGALAND

VERSUS

SHRI SENTIYANGER, IAS AND 4 ORS
CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVT. OF NAGALAND, NAGALAND KOHIMA

2:SMTI. CHUBASANGLA ANAR
IAS
HOME COMMISSIONER
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3:SHRI P. I. IMNA AO
ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
NAGALAND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
NAGALAND KOHIMA

4:SHRI HOZHETO
CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (HOUSING)
NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:SHRI ABHIJIT SINHA IAS

HOME COMMISSIONER

GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND

NAGALAND
KOHIMA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MOA JAMIR, K SHIJOH, GRACE I
NAMGI, IMTI CHANG, ROSEMARY KIKON, SHISA JAMIR, T. METSIEO, THEJANUO
METHA, R MERE, Y HUMTSOE, M JEMU

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER

ORDER

12/03/2026

Learned counsel, Ms. Grace I. Namgi is present for the petitioner.

At the outset, Mr. N. Angami, learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 1 submits that the respondent No. 1 was not a party in WP(C)/61/2025, and further the direction in the order dated 27.05.2025 in WP(C)/61/2025 was directed against the respondent Nos. 2, 3 and 4 and therefore, he prays that the name of respondent No. 1 maybe struck off as a contemnor respondent in the present case.

The Court has perused order dated 27.05.2025 in WP(C)/61/2025 and it is seen that the respondent No. 1 was not made a party in the said petition. Moreover, the notice of the Court's order dated 27.05.2025 was also served only on the respondent Nos. 2, 3 and 4 and not on the respondent No. 1 by the petitioner.

Accordingly, this Court is of the view that the name of respondent No. 1 needs to be struck off from the list of the respondents. It is directed that the name of respondent No. 1 maybe struck off from the array of the respondents in this case.

Learned counsel, Mr. V. Zhimomi appearing for the respondent No. 4 submits that respondent No. 4 has retired from service and it has also been informed that respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have also retired from service.

In view of the said submission of the learned counsel, Mr. V. Zhimomi, the learned counsel for the petitioner shall ascertain the name of the new incumbents and thereafter, file an I.A for impleadment, if so advised.

List after 2 (two) weeks.

Sd/-

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant