

GAHC020004242025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/129/2025

MR. VIGHOTO CHISHI
SON OF LATE GHOKUTO CHISHI, R/O H.NO. 63, SITIMI VILLAGE,
KIPHIRE NAGALAN

VERSUS

C. ALEM SANGTAM AND 4 ORS
R/O KIPHIRE VILLAGE, KIPHIRE NAGALAND

2:STATE OF NAGALAND
THROUGH THE CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. OF NAGALAND

3:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
DIRECTORATE OF VETERINARY AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY
KOHIMA NAGALAND

4:THE DIRECTOR
DEPT. OF VETERINARY AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY
KOHIMA NAGALAND

5:SHRI N. ATOVI SEMA
R/O SITIMI VILLAGE
KIPHIRE NAGALAN

Advocate for the Petitioner : A. ZHIMOMI,

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

Linked Case : WA/17/2025

MR. VIGHOTO CHISHI
SON OF LATE GHOKUTO CHISHI
R/O H.NO. 63
SITIMI VILLAGE
KIPHIRE NAGALAND

VERSUS

C. ALEM SANGTAM AND 4 ORS
R/O KIPHIRE VILLAGE
KIPHIRE NAGALAND

2:STATE OF NAGALAND
THROUGH THE CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. OF NAGALAND

3:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
DIRECTORATE OF VETERINARY AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY
KOHIMA NAGALAND

4:THE DIRECTOR
DEPT. OF VETERINARY AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY
KOHIMA NAGALAND

5:SHR N. ATOVI SEMA
R/O SITIMI VILLAGE
KIPHIRE NAGALAND

Advocate for : A. ZHIMOMI
Advocate for : appearing for C. ALEM SANGTAM AND 4 ORS

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

29.10.2025
(S.K. Medhi, J)

Heard Ms. Esther, learned counsel appearing for the applicant, who

has filed this application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 praying for condonation of delay of 35 days in preferring the appeal against the Order dated 05.05.2025 passed in WP(C)/193/2021.

By drawing the attention of this Court to the averments made in paragraphs- 4, 5 and 6, the learned counsel has submitted that the delay has occasioned because of factors beyond the control of the applicant.

Also heard Mr. N. Longkumer, learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 1, Mr. Moa Imchen, learned Senior Government Advocate appearing for the State respondents and Mr. N. Mozhui, learned counsel appearing for the respondent no. 5, who have objected to the prayer for condonation of delay.

The application for condonation of delay is required to be considered in a pragmatic and justice oriented manner as technicalities should not come into the way of dispensation of substantial justice. We have also noticed that the delay is not inordinate but of 35 days.

Considering the above, the delay of 35 days in preferring the appeal is condoned.

I.A. accordingly stands allowed.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant