

GAHC020004022024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : WP(C)/140/2024

SMTI.THUNGCHANBENI YANTHAN
W/O SHRI ALFRED LAPON,
R/O WOKHA TOWN, PO AND PS-WOKHA.
DISTRICT-WOKHA, NAGALAND

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 3 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVT. OF NAGALAND, DEPARTMENT OF EXCISE AND
PROHIBITION, NAGALAND, KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER OF EXCISE AND PROHIBITION

DIMAPUR
NAGALAND.

3:THE SUPERINTENDENT

EXCISE AND PROHIBITION
WOKHA
NAGALAND

4:SMTI. BITHUNGLO N.MOZHUI
MULTI TASKING STAFF
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
EXCISE AND PROHIBITION
WOKHA
NAGALAN

Advocate for the Petitioner : LIMAWAPANG, AREPLA CHANG,PFOSEKHO PFOTTE

Advocate for the Respondent : GOVT ADV NL,

Linked Case : WP(C)/141/2024

SHRI ATHOBU MEYASE
S/O RULIE MEYASE

R/O TSUUMA VILLAGE
BPO-MEDZHIPHEMA
DISTRICT-CHUMUKEDIMA
NAGALAND

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 3 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF NAGALAND
DEPARTMENT OF EXCISE AND PROHIBITION
NAGALAND
KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER OF EXCISE AND PROHIBITION

DIMAPUR
NAGALAND

3:THE SUPERINTENDENT
EXCISE AND PROHIBITION
DIMAPUR
NAGALAND

4:SMTI. Y. SETHRONGLA
MULTI TASKING STAFF (OFFICE PEON)
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
EXCISE AND PROHIBITION
DIMAPUR
NAGALAND

Advocate for : LIMAWAPANG
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for THE STATE OF NAGALAND
AND 3 ORS

**BEFORE
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

ORDER

25.03.2025

Heard Mr. Limawapang, learned counsel for the petitioners.

Also heard Ms. Inaholi, learned Government Advocate, who submits that the affidavit-in-opposition has been sent for vetting and she needs a week's time for filing the same.

Mr. Pakinrichapbo, learned counsel appears on behalf of respondent no. 4.

The counsel for the petitioner does not oppose the prayer, hence the prayer is allowed.

Let this matter be listed after 2 (two) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant