

GAHC020003992023



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT  
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL  
PRADESH)  
KOHIMA BENCH**

**Case No. : WP(C)/160/2023**

SHRI. LIJANGSING  
W/C KHALASI, EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PHED,  
TUENSANG DIVISION, TUENSANG, NAGALAND

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4 ORS  
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE  
GOVT. OF NAGALAND

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE  
GOVT. OF NAGALAND  
P AND AR DEPARTMENT  
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE  
GOVT OF NAGALAND  
DEPARTMENT OF PHED  
NAGALAND  
KOHIMA.

4:THE CHIEF ENGINEER  
PHED  
NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
PHED  
TUENSANG DIVISION  
NAGALAN

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : TONGPOK PONGENER

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GOVT ADV NL

**BEFORE  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 09.08.2024**

Heard Mr. Tongpok Pongener, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. V. Zhimomi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Ms. Livika Sumi learned Government Advocate for the respondents.

It is submitted that the State respondent is yet to file their affidavit-in-opposition and prays for further 3(three) weeks time to file the same, to which the learned counsel for the petitioner raised no objection.

Prayer is allowed.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this is the second round of litigation and due to pendency of this case his service is not yet regularized and he is not even getting his pension benefits though he got retired in the year 2021 and accordingly, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the matter is urgent.

Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the State respondent is hereby directed to file affidavit-in-opposition on or before the next date of listing.

List the matter accordingly after 3(three) weeks, enabling the State respondent to their affidavit-in-opposition without fail.

Sd/-

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**