

GAHC020003432025



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**KOHIMA BENCH**

**Case No. : WP(C)/110/2025**

THE VILLAGE GUARD ASSOCIATION OF NAGALAND  
REPRESENTED BY ITS VICE PRESIDENT, SHRI TONGKAMMAND ITS  
EXECUTIVE CHAIRMAN SHRI M. LASETSU

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 5 ORS  
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVT. OF NAGALAND

2:THE UNION OF INDIA  
REPRESENTED BY THE STATE SECRETARY (NORTH EAST  
DIVISION) TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA  
MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS  
NORTH BLOCK NEW DELHI-110001

3:THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY AND FINANCE  
COMMISSIONER  
GOVT. OF NAGALAND  
CHAIRMAN EXPERT COMMITTEE TO EXAMINE AND DETERMINE  
THE PAYMENT/ENTITLEMENT OF VILLAGE GUARDS IN  
NAGALAND KOHIMA

4:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY  
P AND AR DEPT. NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE HOME COMMISSIONER  
NAGALAND KOHIMA

6:THE COMMISSIONER OF NAGALAND AND COMMANDANT  
GENERAL  
VILLAGE GUARDS

NAGALAND KOHIM

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : TAKA MASA, SR. ADV, ALEMWAPANG AO, SORENI MOZHUI, SENTILONG, TIAKUMLA, ARENLONG

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GOVT ADV NL,

**BEFORE**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHIVJYOTI SAIKIA**

**ORDER**

**24.02.2026**

Mr. Imti Imsong, learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State respondents has submitted that he has already prepared the affidavit and has sent it for vetting. Therefore, Mr. Imsong has prayed for some time to file the affidavit-in-opposition.

On the other hand, Mr. Taka Masa, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that a fixed date may be given for filing the affidavit-in-opposition by the State respondents.

After hearing both sides the prayer of the State respondents is allowed.

No further chance will be given to the State respondents to file the affidavit-in-opposition.

List on 09.03.2026.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**