



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH**

Case No. : WP(C)/94/2022

SHRI. THEJAO SEKHOSE
S/O LATE KEDUOKIETUO SEKHOSE
PERMAMEMNT ADDRESS- KENUOZOU COLONY, NORTH BLOCK, WARD-3,
T.KHEL , KOHIMA VILLAGE

PRESENT ADDRESS- TSURU COLONY, SECU ZUBZA, KOHIMA NAGALAND

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF ROAD, TRANSPORT AND
HIGHWAYS, NEW DELHI- 1100012:THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED(NHIDCL)
3RD FLOOR
PTI BUILDING
4-PARLIAMENT STREET
NEW DELHI

3:THE GENERAL MANAGER
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED (NHIDCL) PROJECT MONITORING UNIT- DIMAPUR
1ST FLOOR
H/NO-63 (A)
NST COLONY
BEHIND CITY TOWER
DIMAPUR NAGALAND

4:THE STATE OF NAGALAND
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA NAGALAND

5:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOHIMA NAGALAND

6:THE SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER (CIVIL)
SECU ZUBZA
KOHIMA NAGALAND

7:THE DIRECTOR
OASIS TECHNOCONS PVT.LT

Advocate for the Petitioner : KEKHRIENGULIE

Advocate for the Respondent : CGSC

**BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAKHETO SEMA**

ORDER

Date : 03-04-2023

Heard Mr. Kekhriengulie, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms. V. Therie, learned counsel for the respondent No. 1, 2 & 3. Also heard Mr. V. Zhimomi on behalf of Mr. Imti Imsong, learned Addl. Advocate General for the respondent No. 4, 5 & 6.

From the order passed earlier by this Court, it is seen that the learned State counsel has sought for adjournment to file counter affidavit on the following dates namely, 20.07.2022, 15.09.2022, 12.10.2022, 15.11.2022 & 16.02.2023.

On 31.03.2023, there was no representation on behalf of the State respondents.

Today, when the matter is listed, Mr. V. Zhimomi makes a mention on behalf of Mr. Imti Imsong, learned Addl. Advocate General and seeks adjournment on behalf of the State respondents.

Prayer is allowed on the condition that if on the next date fixed no affidavit is filed on behalf of the State respondents, this Court shall consider imposing cost for the delay in filing the affidavit-in-opposition.

List it after 3(three) weeks, on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

Sd/-

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant