



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH**

Case No. : WP(C) 94/2022

1:SHRI. THEJAO SEKHOSE
S/O LATE KEDUOKIETUO SEKHOSE
PERMAMEMNT ADDRESS- KENUOZOU COLONY, NORTH BLOCK, WARD-3,
T.KHEL , KOHIMA VILLAGE

PRESENT ADDRESS- TSURU COLONY, SECU ZUBZA, KOHIMA NAGALAND

VERSUS

1:UNION OF INDIA AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF ROAD, TRANSPORT AND
HIGHWAYS, NEW DELHI- 110001

2:THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED(NHIDCL)
3RD FLOOR
PTI BUILDING
4-PARLIAMENT STREET
NEW DELHI

3:THE GENERAL MANAGER
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED (NHIDCL) PROJECT MONITORING UNIT- DIMAPUR
1ST FLOOR
H/NO-63 (A)
NST COLONY
BEHIND CITY TOWER
DIMAPUR NAGALAND

4:THE STATE OF NAGALAND
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA NAGALAND

5:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOHIMA NAGALAND

6:THE SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER (CIVIL)
SECU ZUBZA
KOHIMA NAGALAND

7:THE DIRECTOR
OASIS TECHNOCONS PVT.LT

Advocate for the Petitioner : KEKHRIENGULIE

Advocate for the Respondent : CGSC

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG

ORDER

Date : 16-02-2023

Mr. Kekhriengulie, learned counsel for the petitioner who submits that in view of the affidavit-in-opposition filed by respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3, wherein, the State respondents are required to take necessary steps for conducting verification with regards to the damage caused to the land of the petitioner in the construction of 4-lanning of Dimapur-Kohima road from design Km 152.490 to Km 166.700 (Existing Km 156.000 to Km 172.900) excluding Dimapur & Kohima bypass, in the state of Nagaland under SARDP-NE through an Engineering,

Procurement and Construction (EPC) Contract (Package-III) –
Matter regarding damages and losses at Tsuru Colony (Near
GREF Camp), Sechu Zubza under Kohima District wherein, the
respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3 have requested the State respondents
to fix a date for verification and for providing details of
disbursement of compensation amount to the said petitioner,
and prayed that appropriate directions may be given to the State
respondents, since no steps have been taken by the State till
date.

Mr. V.Zhimomi, learned Govt. Advocate appearing on behalf of
Mr. Imti Imsong, learned Addl. Advocate General for the state
respondents has prayed for time for filing of their affidavit-in-
opposition.

Having heard both the parties, this court finds that the State
respondents are to file their affidavit-in-opposition within 6 (six)
weeks and to address the steps taken by them with regards to the
letters dated 10.06.2022 and 15.09.2022 addressed to the Deputy
Commissioner, Kohima District by the respondents No. 1-3, in their
affidavit-in-opposition.

Ms. V. Therie appears on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3.

In view of the above, list this matter **after 6 (six) weeks** for the State respondents to file their *affidavit-in-opposition*.

Sd/-

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant