



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH**

Case No. : WP(C)/94/2022

SHRI. THEJAO SEKHOSE
S/O LATE KEDUOKIETUO SEKHOSE
PERMAMEMNT ADDRESS- KENUOZOU COLONY, NORTH BLOCK,
WARD-3, T.KHEL , KOHIMA VILLAGE

PRESENT ADDRESS- TSURU COLONY, SECU ZUBZA, KOHIMA NAGALAND

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF ROAD,
TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS, NEW DELHI- 110001

2:THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED(NHIDCL)
3RD FLOOR
PTI BUILDING
4-PARLIAMENT STREET
NEW DELHI

3:THE GENERAL MANAGER
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED (NHIDCL) PROJECT MONITORING
UNIT- DIMAPUR
1ST FLOOR
H/NO-63 (A)
NST COLONY
BEHIND CITY TOWER
DIMAPUR NAGALAND

4:THE STATE OF NAGALAND
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA NAGALAND

5:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOHIMA NAGALAND

6:THE SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER (CIVIL)
SECU ZUBZA
KOHIMA NAGALAND

7:THE DIRECTOR
OASIS TECHNOCONS PVT.LT

Advocate for the Petitioner : KEKHRIENGULIE

Advocate for the Respondent : CGSC

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUSMITA PHUKAN KHAUND
ORDER

Date : 27.02.2024

Heard Mr. Kekhreingulie, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. Sentiyaner, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, Mr. Imti Imsong, learned Addl. A.g for respondent Nos. 4 to 6 and Mr. Mayank Arora, learned counsel for respondent No.7.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that a joint verification notice dated 16/2/2024 was issued by the Revenue Officer Kohima for assessment of the damages of the properties belonging the petitioner and after the assessment there may be amicable settlement. An assessment will be conducted to assist the amount of damages caused to the properties of the petitioner.

The learned counsel for respondent No.7 has submitted that the respondent No.7 was in no way involved in this

case. The learned counsel for the petitioner has also admitted that the petitioner have no grievance against the respondent No.7 and his name may be deleted. An I.A would be filed by the respondent No.7 to strike out off name from the array of respondents.

List the matter after **4(four)** weeks.

**Sd/-
JUDGE**

Comparing Assistant