

GAHC020002552022



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH**

Case No. : WP(C)/94/2022

SHRI. THEJAO SEKHOSE
S/O LATE KEDUOKIETUO SEKHOSE
PERMAMEMNT ADDRESS- KENUOZOU COLONY, NORTH BLOCK, WARD-3,
T.KHEL , KOHIMA VILLAGE

PRESENT ADDRESS- TSURU COLONY, SECU ZUBZA, KOHIMA NAGALAND

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF ROAD, TRANSPORT AND
HIGHWAYS, NEW DELHI- 110001

2:THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED(NHIDCL)
3RD FLOOR
PTI BUILDING
4-PARLIAMENT STREET
NEW DELHI

3:THE GENERAL MANAGER
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED (NHIDCL) PROJECT MONITORING UNIT- DIMAPUR
1ST FLOOR
H/NO-63 (A)
NST COLONY
BEHIND CITY TOWER
DIMAPUR NAGALAND

4:THE STATE OF NAGALAND
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA NAGALAND

5:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KOHIMA NAGALAND

6:THE SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER (CIVIL)
SECU ZUBZA
KOHIMA NAGALAND

7:THE DIRECTOR
OASIS TECHNOCONS PVT.LT

Advocate for the Petitioner : KEKHRIENGULIE

Advocate for the Respondent : CGSC

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

04.08.2023

Heard Mr. Imti Imsong, learned Additional AG, who appears for respondent Nos. 4, 5 and 6 and prays for 2 (two) weeks' time for filing affidavit in opposition.

Ms. Konli Buchem, learned counsel appears on behalf respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3.

Mr. Mughaka, learned counsel for the petitioner, on the other hand, submits that as earlier also the respondents have taken time for filing affidavit in opposition so this may be the last time on which leave for filing affidavit in opposition may be allowed.

Considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the parties, the prayer is allowed.

Let this matter be fixed after 2 (two) weeks. In the meanwhile,

the respondent Nos. 4, 5 and 6 may filed their affidavit in opposition in this case.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant