

GAHC020002352026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : WP(C)/46/2026

M/S SAVIZO CHADI AND CO.
REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR, SHRI. SAVIZO CHADI, R/O
PERIZIE COLONY ROAD, KOHIMA, NAGALAND.

VERSUS

THE VICE CHANCELLOR, NAGALAND UNIVERSITY AND 3 ORS
HQ - LUMAMI, ZUNHEBOTO, NAGALAND

2:THE REGISTRAR
NAGALAND UNIVERSITY
HQ - LUMAMI
ZUNHEBOTO
NAGALAND

3:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
NAGALAND UNIVERSITY
HQ - LUMAMI
ZUNHEBOTO
NAGALAND

4:M/S NORTH EAST INFRA TECH DEVELOPERS
NU GROUP - A REGD. NO. NU/EN/2025/A13
KOHIMA
NAGALAN

Advocate for the Petitioner : MOA JAMIR, K SHIJOH,GRACE I NAMGI,THEJANUO
METHA,YANGRO HUMTSOE,AKHRIEU CHUSI

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER

ORDER

17.04.2026

The present writ petition has been preferred by the petitioner assailing the impugned Work Order NO. NU/ENGG-292/SAS/VS&SD/2023-5892 dated 26.02.2026 awarded in favour of the respondent no. 4 for construction of Vocational Training Hostel for Vocational Students & Skill Development (VSSD) (Composite Work) at SAS Medziphema Campus, Nagaland University and also the impugned Comparative Statement dated 24.02.2026 prepared by the respondent authorities.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Moa Jamir. The case of the petitioner is that the respondents had by a Tender Notice dated 11.02.2026 invited tenders in F-2 Form of the Public Works Department from enlisted Contractors (Civil) for carrying out the work titled as "Vocational Training Hostel (Composite Work) for VSSD at SAS, Medziphema Campus, NU." It was stated in the NIT that tender documents and details could be obtained from the office of the Executive Engineer, Nagaland University, Hqrs. Lumami on working days upto 20.02.2026 till 2.00 PM on payment of Tender fee (Non-refundable) through the SBI Collect in favour of Nagaland University. As per the terms and conditions dated 11.02.2026 it was not specified anywhere that the bidders had to submit a valid solvency certificate verified by the issuing bank. It is further submitted that the general rules and directions for the contractors in the form F-2 specify that the Chief/Superintending Engineer

or his duly authorized assistant will open tenders in the presence of the intending contractors who may be present at the time, and will initial and date the tenders. However, in the present case, there was no information about the tender opening and the petitioner came to know only upon filing an RTI application that the work order had already been awarded to the respondent no. 4. The reason given by the authorities for rejection of the petitioner's tender was that his solvency certificate had expired and not verified as authentic by the issuing bank. The petitioner further submits that even in the Comparative Statement, the rate quoted by the petitioner and his experience and the deposit of the EMD has not been reflected by the respondent authorities and that in fact he was the lowest bidder among all the tenderers.

The petitioner further submits that even though the work order was issued on 26.02.2026, the Engineer of the petitioner's firm has certified, by a certificate and photographs dated 15.04.2026, that only 5% of the works has been established at the site which includes the materials, dumping and site development and leveling.

Issue notice returnable in 2 (two) weeks.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has already served notice and copies of the petition on the Standing Counsel for the respondent nos. 1, 2 and 3, Mr. Akum Pongen.

The petitioner shall take steps for service on the respondent no. 4 by dasti and thereafter file the compliance affidavit.

Till the next returnable date, it is directed that status quo be maintained as far as the Work Order NO. NU/ENGG-292/SAS/VS&SD/2023-5892 dated 26.02.2026 is concerned.

List on 04.05.2026 for Admission.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant