



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH**

Case No. : WP(C)/70/2018

YANBENI LOTHIA
WOKHA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 8 ORS.
KOHIMA 2:COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS DEPT
NAGALAND
N/L
KOHIMA

3:THE FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
N/L
KOHIMA

4:SECRETARY
TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
DEPT. OF POWER
N/L
KOHIMA

5:CHIEF ENGINEER
DEPTT OF POWER.
N/L KOHIMA

6:ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
TRANSMISSION AND GENERATION
DEPT. OF POWER
N/L
KOHIMA

7:EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
POWER DEPT.

WOKHA DIVISION

8:THE TREASURY OFFICER
WOKHA
N/L

9:ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
NAGALAND
N/L
KOHIM

Advocate for the Petitioner : I. IMTI LONGCHAR, VIKHONO
KISO,,,KEKHRIESENUEO,R ATSULA KIPS,,,KIROBA,SUPONGWATI WALLING

Advocate for the Respondent : GOVT ADV NL,

Linked Case : WP(C)/71/2018

MHABEMO @ MHONBEMO
WOKHA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 8 ORS.
KOHIMA

2:COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS DEPT
NAGALAND
N/L
KOHIMA

3:THE FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
N/L
KOHIMA

4:COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
TO THE GOVT. OF NAGALAND
DEPT. OF POWER
N/L
KOHIMA

5:CHIEF ENGINEER

DEPTT OF POWER.
N/L
KOHIMA

6:ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
TRANSMISSION AND GENERATION
DEPT. OF POWER
N/L
KOHIMA

7:EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
ELECTRICAL DIVISION
TUENSANG
N/L

8:THE TREASURY OFFICER
TUENSANG
N/L

9:ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
NAGALAND
N/L
KOHIMA

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. I. Imti Longchar, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. E. Thiba Phom, Govt. Advocate

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

ORDER

Date : 02.09.2025

Mr. E. Thiba Phom, the learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the State respondents submitted that in a similarly situated case, the learned Coordinate Bench of this Court vide a judgment and order dated 20.06.2025 had disposed

of the said matter thereby directing the Respondent Authorities to consider the case of the petitioner in terms with the CCS (Extraordinary Pension) Rules, 1939 within a period of 4 (four) months. The learned Government Advocate further submitted that the instant writ petitions can also be disposed of on the said terms.

2. However, Mr. I. Imti Longchar, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners in the instant writ petitions submitted that he was also the counsel for the petitioner in WP(C) No. 69(K)/2018 and in spite of the direction being issued for consideration, the said consideration has been rejected as per his information. He therefore submitted that passing an order to that effect would not serve any purpose, taking into account that the question, as to whether, the petitioners would be entitled to CCS (Extraordinary Pension) Rules, 1939 is a question which needs adjudication from this Court. The learned counsel also referred to a recent judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of ***Dharam Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and Another*** reported in ***(2025) SCC OnLine SC 1735*** whereby the Supreme Court had passed certain

directions.

3. This Court enquired with the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, as to whether, the said aspect has been brought on record by filing any additional affidavit. The learned counsel submitted that he would do so.

4. Accordingly, list this matter again on 13.10.2025.

5. In the meantime, the petitioners would be at liberty to bring additional pleadings on record.

Sd/-

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant