



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : I.A.(Civil) 16/2021

1:CHUMUKEDIMA VILLAGE COUNCIL
DIMAPUR DISTRICT, NAGALAND, REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN
SHRI. RAZOUVOTUO CHATSU, S/O LATE NEISESA CHATSU

VERSUS

1:THAHEKHU VILLAGE COUNCIL AND 7 ORS
DIMAPUR, NAGALAND,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN MR. I. KHEKUTO YEPHTO

2:THE STATE OF NAGALAND
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA

3:THE FINANCE COMMISSIONER
GOVT. OF NAGALAND
KOHIMA

4:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF LAND REVENUE AND RECORDS
KOHIMA

5:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
DIMAPUR DISTRICT

6:THE UNION OF INDIA
THROUGH THE SECRETARY
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
GOVT. OF INDIA
101 -A
SOUTH BLOCK
NEW DELHI

7:THE GOC

3 CORPS
C/O APO

8:THE DEFENCE ESTATE OFFICER
3 CORPS
C/O 99AP

Advocate for the Petitioner : R. IRALU, SR. ADV

Advocate for the Respondent :

Linked Case : WP(C) 50/2020

1:THE THAHEKHU VILLAGE COUNCIL
DISTRICT- DIMAPUR
NAGALAND

REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN

MR. I. KHEKUTO YEPTHO

AGED ABOUT 50YRS
MOBILE NO. 9436003871

VERSUS

1:STATE OF NAGALAND AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY

GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND

KOHIMA
NAGALAND

2:THE FINANCE COMMISSIONER
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND

KOHIMA
NAGALAND

3:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY/SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF LAND REVENUE AND RECORDS

GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND

KOHIMA
NAGALAND

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
DISTRICT- DIMAPUR
NAGALAND

5:THE UNION OF INDIA
THROUGH THE SECRETARY

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
101-A
SOUTH BLOCK

NEW DELHI

6:THE GOC
3 CORPS

C/O. 99 APO

7:THE DEFENSE ESTATE OFFICER
3 CORPS
C/O. 99 APO

Advocate for the Petitioner : A. ZHIMOMI
Advocate for the Respondent : GOVT ADV NL (R/1-4)

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. HUKATO SWU

ORDER

Date : 15-02-2021

This I.A. petition is filed for impleading the petitioner in the main petition, which was filed and nomenclatured as WP(C) No. 50/2020. The petitioner herein submits that it is a petition filed by the opposite party for compensation of land under occupation of Army Cantonment at Rangapahar. The claim of the petitioner is that they are the owners of the land in occupation and without impleadment of the petitioner, grave injustice would be caused upon them, hence, the petition for impleadment.

Learned counsel for the opposite party No. 1 in WP(C) No. 50/2020, Ms. Esther appears and submits that she will be filing written objections and has prayed for 2 (two) weeks' time.

Mr. Kekhriengulie, learned Addl. A.G. submits that he will be representing opposite party Nos. 2 to 5.

Mr. Z. N. Ngullie, learned CGSC appears on behalf of opposite party Nos. 6 to 8.

Notice upon the parties is deemed to be complete as all the opposite parties are represented.

It is submitted that copies of the petition has already been served upon the opposite parties.

Prayer made by the opposite party No. 1 for filing objection is allowed.

Let the matter be listed after **2 (two) weeks** to enable the opposite party No. 1 to file affidavit-in-opposition.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant