



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : WP(C) 50/2020

1:THE THAHEKHU VILLAGE COUNCIL
DISTRICT- DIMAPUR, NAGALAND,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN,
MR. I. KHEKUTO YEPHO,
AGED ABOUT 50YRS
MOBILE NO. 9436003871

VERSUS

1:STATE OF NAGALAND AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND,
KOHIMA, NAGALAND

2:THE FINANCE COMMISSIONER
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND

KOHIMA
NAGALAND

3:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY/SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF LAND REVENUE AND RECORDS

GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND

KOHIMA
NAGALAND

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
DISTRICT- DIMAPUR
NAGALAND

5:THE UNION OF INDIA
THROUGH THE SECRETARY

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
101-A
SOUTH BLOCK

NEW DELHI

6:THE GOC
3 CORPS

C/O. 99 APO

7:THE DEFENSE ESTATE OFFICER
3 CORPS
C/O. 99 AP

Advocate for the Petitioner : A. ZHIMOMI

Advocate for the Respondent : GOVT ADV NL (R/1-4)

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA

ORDER

Date : 02-12-2020

Heard Ms. Lhousino, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. V. Zhimomi, learned State counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Mr. Z.N. Ngullie, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 to 7.

It appears from the statement in this writ petition that the land of the petitioner village was acquired between the year 1962- 1966. Notwithstanding the issue as to whether the belated purported claim of the petitioner is maintainable or not the learned counsel for the petitioner shall apprise the Court regarding the period of limitation prescribed for the Government agencies for preserving original documents and further the learned counsel for the petitioner shall address the Court as to why this Court should entertain this belated claim for money raised after a long gap of about 58 years.

In the meanwhile the respondents shall also file affidavit-in-opposition.

List the matter after **6(six)** weeks.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant