



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT  
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL  
PRADESH)  
KOHIMA BENCH**

**Case No. : WP(C)/25/2025**

M/S. M. AKAI KONYAK,  
REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR MR. M. AKAI KONYAK,  
S/O MOBA,  
R/O CHANGLANG VILLAGE,  
PO AND PS ABOI,  
DISTRICT MON, NAGALAND

VERSUS

THE STATE OF NAGALAND AND 3 ORS  
REPRESENTED BY CHIEF SECRETAY,  
GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND, NAGALAND, KOHIMA  
2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY

DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM

GOVERNMENT OF NAGALAND

NAGALAND  
KOHIMA

3:THE DIRECTOR

DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM

NAGALAND  
KOHIMA

4:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

DEPARTMENT OF TOURISM

NAGALAND  
KOHIM

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : N. MOZHUI, N. RUPREO

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GOVT ADV NL,

**BEFORE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**

**ORDER**

**Date : 05-03-2025**

Heard Ms. N. Rupreo, learned counsel for the petitioner. I have also heard Mr. N. Angami, learned Government Advocate for the State respondents.

The case of the petitioner is that he was allotted 4 (four) contractual works on 10.02.2014, for construction of Integrated Tourist Travel Circuit at Aboi- Chaohachingngnyu - Changlang- Jakphang- Changlangshu- Sangsangnyu (SH: Construction of Budget Accommodation Morung style at Changlang), Integrated Tourist Travel Chaohachingngnyu Circuit at Aboi-Changlang- Jakphang- Changlangshu-Sangsangnyu (SH: Furnishing of Budget Accommodation at Changlang), Integrated Tourist Travel Circuit at Aboi-Chaohachingngnyu Changlang- Jakphang- Changlangshu-Sangsangnyu (SH: Construction of Open-Air-Theatre with Green Room and Toilet Facility at Aboi (Changlangshu) and Integrated Tourist Travel Circuit at Aboi- Chaohachingngnyu Changlang- Jakphang- Changlangshu- Sangsangnyu (SH: Construction of Boundary Wall at Changlang) amounting to Rs. 40,74,000/- (rupees forty lakhs seventy four thousand) only to be completed within 18 months.

The further case of the petitioner is that he has completed the work within the stipulated time and a Certificate dated Nil was issued to this effect by the Village Council. However, even after more than 11 years of the completion of the said work, no payment has been released to the petitioner till date despite of repeated approach being made for releasing the said amounts.

Issue notice of motion calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why a rule should not be issued as prayed for and/or as to why such other order(s) should not be passed as this Court may deem fit and proper.

The notice is made returnable within 4 (four) weeks.

Since Mr. N. Angami, learned Government Advocate accepted notice on behalf of all the respondents, no formal notice need be issued to the said respondents. However, the petitioner shall furnish requisite copies of the petition to the learned Government Advocate during the course of the day.

List this matter after 4 (four) weeks.

Sd/-  
**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**