

GAHC020000422026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/12/2026

UNION OF INDIA AND 3 ORS
THROUGH THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA, MINISTRY OF
HOME AFFAIORS, GOVT. OF INDIA, NEW DELHI

2: THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF ASSAM RIFLES
HQ DGAR SHILLONG-10 MEGHALAYA

3: THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL
HEADQUARTERS 10 SECTOR ASSAM RIFLES C/O 99 APO

4: THE COMMANDANT
42 ASSAM RIFLES C/O 99 AP

VERSUS

NO. G/144503A, RFN/GD MOALONG
42 ASSAM RIFLES. PERMANENT ADDRESS- KHENSA VILLAGE,
MOKOKCHUNG NAGALAND

Advocate for the Petitioner : YANGER WATI,

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

Linked Case : WA/4/2026

UNION OF INDIA AND 3 ORS
THROUGH THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIORS
GOVT. OF INDIA
NEW DELHI

VERSUS

NO. G/144503A
RFN/GD MOALONG
42 ASSAM RIFLES. PERMANENTADDRESS- KHENSA VILLAGE
MOKOKCHUNG NAGALAND

2:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF ASSAM RIFLES
HQ DGAR SHILLONG-10 MEGHALAYA

3:THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL
HEADQUARTERS 10 SECTOR ASSAM RIFLES C/O 99 APO

4:THE COMMANDANT
42 ASSAM RIFLES C/O 99 APO

Advocate for : YANGER WATI
Advocate for : I. IMTI LONGCHAR appearing for NO. G/144503A
RFN/GD MOALONG

Linked Case : I.A.(Civil)/13/2026

UNION OF INDIA AND 3 ORS
THROUGH THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIORS
GOVT. OF INDIA
NEW DELHI

2: THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF ASSAM RIFLES
HQ DGAR SHILLONG-10 MEGHALAYA

3: THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL
HEADQUARTERS 10 SECTOR ASSAM RIFLES C/O 99 APO

4: THE COMMANDANT
42 ASSAM RIFLES C/O 99 APO
VERSUS

NO. G/144503A
RFN/GD MOALONG
42 ASSAM RIFLES. PERMANENTADDRESS- KHENSA VILLAGE
MOKOKCHUNG NAGALAND

Advocate for : YANGER WATI
Advocate for : appearing for NO. G/144503A
RFN/GD MOALONG

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER

ORDER

18.03.2026

(D. Baruah, J)

This is an application filed for condoning the delay of 162 (one hundred and sixty two) days in preferring the accompanying appeal.

2. We have perused the said application and we do not find any ground mentioned constituting a sufficient cause for condoning the delay in preferring the accompanying appeal. It is also seen that the respondents have strenuously objected by filing the affidavit-in-opposition.

3. Mr. Yangerwati, learned CGC appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that an opportunity may be given to the applicant to file an additional affidavit.

4. List the matter again on 22.04.2026.

5. In the meantime, opportunity is given to file the additional affidavit on or before 20.04.2026.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant