

GAHC010289992025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/229/2026

SRI ANIL DAS
SON OF SRI DULESWAR DAS RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- SANATAN GAON,
P.O. AND P.S. NORTH LAKHIMPUR, DISTRICT- LAKHIMPUR, ASSAM, PIN-
787001.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, FISHERY DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:THE MANAGING DIRECTOR

ASSAM FISHERIES DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
CACHAL
VIP ROAD
GUWAHATI-36

3:THE DIRECTOR OF FISHERY

ASSAM MEEN BHAWAN
GUWAHATI-16

4:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER

LAKHIMPUR
NORTH LAKHIMPUR
DISTRICT-LAKHIMPUR
ASSAM
PIN-787001.

5:THE DISTRICT FISHERIES DEVELOPMENT OFFICER

LAKHIMPUR
DISTRICT-LAKHIMPUR
ASSAM
PIN-787001.

6:THE CIRCLE OFFICER

SUBANSIRI REVENUE CIRCLE
GHILAMARA
DISTRICT-LAKHIMPUR
ASSAM
PIN- 787053

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. N BORAH, MR. R SARMA

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, AFDC

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI

ORDER

21.01.2026

Heard Shri N. Borah, learned counsel for the petitioner, whose principal grievance was against an order dated 22.12.2025 issued by the Managing Director, AFDC. The grievance is also with regard to non-compliance of the order dated 26.06.2025 passed in WP(C)/3612/2025.

The learned counsel has however submitted that after filing of the writ petition, an order has been passed on 03.01.2026 by the Managing Director, AFDC whereby the petitioner has been allowed to proceed in terms of the settlement order dated 23.08.2022 and the agreement dated 16.09.2022.

Shri Borah, the learned counsel has submitted that in view of the aforesaid development, the substantial grievance of the petitioner has been redressed. However, the prayer with regard to the compliance of the order

dated 26.06.2025 in WP(C) No./3612/2025 still remains.

Also heard Shri R. Chetri, learned counsel appearing on instructions of Shri P. Sharma, learned Standing Counsel, AFDC and Ms. U. Das, learned State Counsel.

This Court is of the opinion that in view of the order dated 03.01.2026, a copy of which is placed on record, the substantial grievance of the petitioner appears to have been redressed.

So far as the grievance relating to non compliance of the earlier orders passed by this Court, the petitioner would have the recourse to file appropriate application in accordance with law.

In view of the above, writ petition stands closed.

Copy of the order dated 03.01.2026 is made part of the records.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant