

GAHC010288972025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/159/2026

M/S MIRJANAGAR AGRO DEVELOPERS NGO
REPRESENTED BY THE PRESIDENT, SRI AMIT ROY, S/O ANIL CHANDRA,
R/O MIRJANAGAR, P.O. JANAKALYAN BAZAR, MAUZA - TALTOLA, P.S.
KARIMGANJ, DISTRICT - SRIBHUMI, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF ASSAM, FISHERY DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
FISHERY DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6.

3:THE ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
FISHERY DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6.

4:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
SRIBHUMI AT SRIBHUMI
ASSAM. PIN -788710

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS. S B CHOUDHURY, MR A N AHMED

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, FISHERY, GA, ASSAM

BEFORE

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI

ORDER

08.01.2026

Heard Ms. SB Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. As per the facts projected, the petitioner had participated in a tender process for settlement of Kakra Nadi in the district of Sribhumi and claims to have been adjudged as the highest bidder. However, without bringing the said process of settlement to a logical conclusion, the impugned notice has been issued on 10.12.2025 for settlement of the fishery in question.

3. Ms. S. Chutia, learned Standing Counsel, Fishery Department has however contended that the fresh notice dated 10.12.2025 had to be issued incorporating the guidelines and directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and there is no illegality in the same.

4. Let notice be issued, returnable on 04.02.2026.

5. Ms. S. Chutia, learned Standing Counsel, Fishery Department accepts notice on behalf of the respondent nos. 1, 2 & 3 and Ms. U. Das, learned State Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent no. 4. Extra copies of the writ petition be served upon the learned counsel for the respondents by tomorrow.

6. Ms. Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for an interim order.

7. After considering, this Court is not inclined to pass any blanket order of stay, it is however provided that participation of the petitioner in the impugned tender process would be without prejudice to the claims which have been made in the writ petition.

8. List this case on 04.02.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant