

GAHC010287682025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/58/2026

UMESH KOIRI
S/O MAHENDRA KOIRI, R/O VILL.- WANGIRBOND, P.O. OLIVIACHERRA,
P.S. RATABARI, DIST- SRIBHUMI, ASSAM, PIN- 788737, ROLL NO
5111002802.

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE MINISTRY OF HOME
AFFAIRS, GOVT OF INDIA, NEW DELHI -1.

2:THE STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN
BLOCK NO. 12 CGO COMPLEX
LODHI ROAD
NEW DELHI- 03.

3:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL
CENTRAL RESERVE POLICE FORCE (RECRUITMENT)
EAST BLOCK -07
LEVEL- 4
SECTOR- 01
R K PURAM
NEW DELHI -66

4:THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
(DIGP) CRPF
GROUP CENTRE
AMERIGOG
GUWAHATI -23.

5:THE REVIEW MEDICAL EXAMINATION BOARD

CT /GD RECRUITMENT EXAMINATION
2025
GC
CRFP
UDARBAND
DAYAPUR
SILCHAR
ASSAM
PIN- 788030.

6:THE DETAILED MEDICAL EXAMINATION BOARD
CT/ GD RECRUITMENT EXAMINATION
2025
GC
CRPF
UDARBAND
DAYAPUR
SILCHAR
ASSAM
PIN-788030

For the petitioner (s) : Mr. H. R. Ahmed, Advocate

For the respondent (s) : Mr. S. S. Roy, CGC

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH
ORDER

08.01.2026

Issue notice making it returnable on 16.03.2026.

2. Mr. S. S. Roy, the learned CGC accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Extra copies of the writ petition be served upon him by tomorrow, i.e. 09.01.2026.
3. The petitioner herein is aggrieved by the rejection of the

petitioner's candidature on the basis of the opinion dated 01.12.2025 given by the Review Medical Examination Board of the respondents thereby opining that the petitioner is unfit due to "Tinea corporis post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation + Nevi".

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner drew the attention of this Court to the very impugned opinion and submitted that the said opinion has been rendered by the Review Medical Board on the basis of an opinion given by the Skin Specialist from the Silchar Medical College Hospital. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner could procure a copy of the said opinion wherein the Assistant Professor had categorically opined that the petitioner was fit and the skin infection was not contagious. The learned counsel referring to the guidelines for Medical Examination in the Central Armed Police Forces and Assam Rifles as revised in May 2015, further submitted that the disease for which the petitioner had been rejected do not fall within the ambit of Clause 6 of the said guidelines. Further to that, the learned counsel also referred to the revised uniform guidelines for Review Medical Examination dated 31.05.2021 and submitted that the guidelines by which the Review Medical Board had rendered the impugned opinion is contrary to Clause 6(d).

5. This Court has also perused the materials on record and is

of the opinion that the petitioner has been able to make out a *prima facie* case for issuance of interim directions pending the next date.

6. This Court accordingly directs the Respondent Authorities not to fill up one post in the rank of the Constable (GD) in the Central Armed Police Forces on the basis of the notice dated 05.09.2024 till the next date.

7. The respondents herein are directed to bring on record their stand by filing their affidavit(s) on or before 06.03.2026.

8. Liberty given to the petitioner to file reply on or before 13.03.2026.

9. An attempt shall be made to dispose of the writ petition on the next date.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant