

GAHC010285732025



2026:GAU-AS:262

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/8/2026

ABDUL MOMIN
S/O- ABDUL LATIF, VILL- BAR-AGDIA, P.O.- NAGAON, P.S.- BARPETA,
DIST.- BARPETA, ASSAM PIN- 781311

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC
GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL AND
TRAINING, GOVT. OF INDIA, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI-110001.

2:THE STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
BLOCK NO. 12
CGO COMPLEX
LODHI ROAD
NEW DELHI-110003.

3:THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR (NER) STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

HOUSEFED COMPLEX
ASSAM
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-06.

4:THE DIRECTORATE GENERAL
CRPF (RECRUITMENT BRANCH)
EAST BLOCK-07
LEVEL-4
SECTOR-01
R.K.PURAM
NEW DELHI-110066.

5:THE PRESIDING OFFICER
CT/GD RECRUITMENT EXAM
2025 RECRUITMENT BOARD
CRPF GUWAHATI AMERIGOG
GUWAHATI
ASSAM
781023.

6:THE PRESIDING OFFICER
REVIEW MEDICAL EXAMINATION BOARD-1
CT/GD EXAM-2025 CENTRE-CAPFS CH BSF
PATGAON
GUWAHATI
ASSAM
781023

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH**

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. A.M. Khan, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. S. Baruah, CGC

Date on which judgment was reserved : **NA**

Date of pronouncement of judgment : **07.01.2026**

Whether the pronouncement is of the
Operative part of the judgment? : **NA**

Whether the full judgment has been
pronounced? : **Yes**

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

Heard Mr. A.M. Khan, the learned counsel appearing on behalf
of the petitioner and Ms. S. Baruah, the learned CGC, who appears

on behalf of the respondents.

2. The present writ proceeding is filed challenging the opinion rendered by the Review Medical Examination Board-1 of the Centre-CAPFs, CH BSF, Patgaon wherein it was opined that the petitioner is unfit.

3. The materials on record show that in pursuance to a notice issued for recruitment of Constable (GD) in the Central Armed Police Forces (CAPFs) and SSF, Rifleman (GD) in Assam Rifles, and Sepoy in Narcotics Control Bureau Examination-2025, the petitioner participated in the said recruitment process. While carrying out the medical examination, it was found that the petitioner was not fit for appointment as a Constable (GD). The petitioner thereupon requested for a review. The Review Medical Board by the opinion rendered on 22.11.2025 also concluded that the petitioner was unfit on the ground of defective distant vision 6/9 both eyes.

4. It is the further case of the petitioner that the petitioner thereupon carried out certain examination at the Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed Medical College and Hospital, Barpeta and it is the case of the petitioner that the Registrar, Department of Ophthalmology of the said Medical College and Hospital had opined that the petitioner's Visual Acuity is 6/6. It was also opined that Colour Vision of the petitioner is Within Normal Limit and the Anterior Segment is Within Normal Limit.

The said opinion was given on 15.12.2025. It is under such circumstances that the petitioner has approached this Court.

5. This Court has duly heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties and has also perused the materials on record.

6. This Court also has taken note of that the petitioner upon obtaining the medical opinion from the Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed Medical College and Hospital, Barpeta had not approached the Respondent Authorities by submitting a representation and had directly approached this Court.

7. This Court in exercise of the powers conferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India cannot sit on appeal and, more particularly, in respect to varied medical opinions rendered by the Review Medical Board of the Respondent Authorities as well as the Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed Medical College and Hospital, Barpeta.

8. Under such circumstances, it is not a fit case for entertaining the writ petition.

9. Be that as it may, the petitioner is always at liberty to submit a representation along with the necessary documents before the Review Medical Board of the Respondent Authorities and the Review Medical Board on the basis thereof can very well look into the same.

10. Accordingly the instant writ petition stands disposed of with the following observations and directions:

(i). In the present facts and circumstances of the case as observed above, this Court is not inclined to entertain the instant writ petition.

(ii). The non-entertaining of the writ petition shall not preclude the petitioner to submit a representation before the Review Medical Board of the respondents seeking a re-consideration on the basis of the medical opinions available with the petitioner as discussed above.

(iii). In the circumstance, any representation is submitted within 10 (ten) days from today, the Review Medical Board of the respondents shall look into the same and do the needful in accordance with their guidelines within a period of 60 (sixty) days from the date of receipt of the representation.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant