

GAHC010282002025



undefined

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/7336/2025**

RAHUL TALUKDAR  
SON OF SRI KISHOR TALUKDAR, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- FEURAKHOWA,  
P.S.- SORBHOG, P.O.- BHULUKADOBA, DIST.- BARPETA, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 5 ORS  
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE MINISTRY OF PERSONAL,  
PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONAL AND  
TRAINING, STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION, BLOCK NO. 12, CGO  
COMPLEX, LODHI ROAD, NEW DELHI-110003.

2:STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION  
BLOCK NO. 12  
CGO COMPLEX  
LODHI ROAD  
NEW DELHI-110003  
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL DIRECTOR (NER).

3:REGIONAL DIRECTOR (NER)  
STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION  
HOUSEFED COMPLEX  
LAST GATE- BASISTHA ROAD  
P.O.- ASSAM SACHIVALAYA  
DISPUR  
GUWAHATI- 781006  
KAMRUP METRO  
ASSAM.

4:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL  
CRPF (RECRUITMENT BRANCH)  
EAST BLOCK-07  
LEVEL-4  
SECTOR-01

R. K. PURAM  
NEW DELHI- 110066.

5:THE MEDICAL OFFICER  
EXECUTIVE BOARD  
DETAIL MEDICAL EXAMINATION OF CT/GD EXAM-2025 CENTRE CAPFS  
CH BSF PATGAON  
P.O.- AZARA  
DIST.- KAMRUP METRO  
ASSAM  
PIN-781017.

6:THE REVIEW MEDICAL EXAMINATION BOARD- II  
CONSTABLE (GD) EXAM- 2025  
CENTRE- CAPFS CH BSF PATGAON  
GUWAHATI  
DISTRICT- KAMRUP METRO  
ASSA

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. P P DUTTA, MS P SARMA,MS. P P DAS  
**Advocate for the Respondent** : DY.S.G.I., MRS. R DEVI(C.G.C)

**BEFORE**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA**

**O R D E R**

**12.03.2026**

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the rejection by the Medical Board in the process of selection and recruitment to the post of Constable (GD) in CAPF. The petitioner was declared unfit by the detail medical examination. Subsequently, the Review Medical Examination also declared the petitioner unfit on the ground of Calcified Granuloma being present in the lung and the possibility of reactivation of Tuberculosis in the petitioner in the event of intense training that he would be required to undergo upon selection. The petitioner thereafter presented himself before the Fakruddin Ali Ahmed Medical College, Barpeta and Gauhati Medical College and Hospital. The opinion of the GMCH is that the petitioner can indulge in day to day activities

and he was advised to go HRCT Test after six months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of the guidelines in cases of rejection of a candidate by the Review Medical Board, clinical findings are required to be corroborated with confirmatory test/investigation/opinion of specialist/super specialist of Government Hospital/ Medical College etc. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no such confirmatory test or opinion of specialist was taken into consideration by the Review Medical Examination.

Per-contra, Ms. R. Devi, learned CGC submits that a perusal of the Review Medical opinion reflects that the opinion was rendered by specialist whose signature and endorsement is seen in the Review Medical Examination report.

On a pointed query by the Court as to whether the specialist concerned is a specialist in the field of medicine necessary to determine whether the petitioner's case be lead to re activation of Tuberculosis namely whether the specialist is a specialist in the field of Pulmonary medicine and related specialisation, learned CGC submits that she does not have instructions to that effect.

Let this instruction be placed before this Court within two weeks, whereafter necessary orders as deem appropriate will be passed by this Court.

List the matter on **01.04.2026**.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**