

GAHC010281092025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2969/2025

HANIF UDDIN AND ORS
S/O NASIB ALI,
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE BAKULA GURI, PS KAMPUR, PO JAMUNAMUKH,
DIST NAGAON, ASSAM, 782428

2: YAHYA ALOM
S/O HANIF UDDIN

3: IKBAL HUSSAIN
S/O HANIF UDDIN

4: IRAFAN ALI
S/O LT. NASHWIB ALI

5: MD ABDUL JALIL MAJUMDAR
S/O MD. FR MAJUMDAR ALL ARE RESIDENT OF R/O VILL. BAKULA GURI
P.S. KAMPUR P.O. JAMUNAMUKH DIST. NAGAON
ASSAM PIN-78242

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE LEARNED P P GOVT. OF ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : DARAK ULLAH, MRS S N KHANDAKAR, SABRISH
AHMED, MR. M A LASKAR, MS A HUSSAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

Date : 21.01.2026

Heard Mr. D. Ullah, learned counsel, appearing for the petitioners and Mr. K.K. Das, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the State respondent.

This anticipatory bail application under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 has been filed by the petitioners, namely, **(1) Hanif Uddin; (2) Yahiya Alom; (3) Ikbal Hussain, (4) Irafan Ali and (5) Md. Abdul Jali Mazumdar**, seeking privilege of pre-arrest bail, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with **Kampur P.S. Case No. 150/2025** under Sections 191(2)/ 126(2)/ 132/ 324(4)/ 121(2)/ 109(1)/ 303(2) of the BNS, 2023.

The learned Addl. Public Prosecutor has submitted that as per the case diary, the alleged injuries received by the victims during the scuffle are simple injuries. Although, the scuffle has been referred to by some of the witnesses, regarding the other petitioners, there is no allegation by any of the witnesses against petitioner No. 1 and in fact one of the witnesses stated that the petitioner No. 1 was not involved in the alleged offences.

In such view of the matter, the interim order 18.12.2025 so far it relates to grant of interim pre-arrest bail to the petitioner No. 1 is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions mentioned in the interim order itself.

As regards the other petitioners, i.e. the petitioner Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 5, they are directed to appear before the I.O. on or before 30.01.2026 to have their statements recorded and participate in the ongoing investigation.

It provided that in the event of arrest of the petitioners Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 5, namely **(2) Yahiya Alom; (3) Ikbal Hussain, (4) Irafan Ali and (5) Md.**

Abdul Jali Mazumdar, they shall be released on bail, on furnishing a bond of Rs. 10,000/ each with one surety each of like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting authority, subject to conditions that the petitioner Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 5:-

- (i) shall appear before the Investigating Officer of the case on or before 30.01.2026 to enable the I.O. to record their statements;
- (ii) shall fully cooperate with the investigation of the case and shall appear before the I.O. as and when required in connection with the investigation of the aforesaid P.S. case; and
- (iii) shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any persons acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

On the failure to fulfill the conditions of the bail and to appear before the I.O. within the specified period, the privilege of pre arrest bail shall stand lapsed.

Bail application is accordingly disposed of.

Return the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant