

GAHC010280272025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/360/2026

MARTIN URANG
S/O- LATE KAMIL URANG, VILL- FUNGDANG (ANGEL STATION AREA),
P.O.- HIDIPI, DIST- KARBI ANGLONG, ASSAM- 782480

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SPECIAL SECRETARY TO
THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, PUBLIC WORKS (ROADS) DEPARTMENT,
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-06

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
PENSION AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-06

3:THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (ROADS)
CHANDMARI GUWAHATI
ASSAM- 781003

4:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD (ROADS)
JORHAT NEC DIVISION
JORHAT
ASSAM- 785001

5:THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A/E)
ASSAM
MAIDAMGAON
BELTOLA
GUWAHATI-28

6:THE TREASURY OFFICER
BOKAJAN SUB-TREASURY
BOKAJAN
ASSAM- 78249

Advocate for the Petitioner : MD S HOQUE,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, FINANCE,SC, PWD,SC, AG (A AND E)

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA

ORDER

12.03.2026

Md. S. Hoque, learned counsel appears for the writ petitioner.

2. The claim of the petitioner is to the pensionary benefits that he claims to be entitled to for the services rendered under the Department. The petitioner was superannuated on 31.03.2010. Pursuant thereto, the pension papers of the petitioner was submitted by the office of the Executive Engineer PWRD, Jorhat NEC Division before the Office under Secretary, Government of Assam, PWRD, Audit Branch by communication dated 14.11.2013. Non-Drawal certificate was also issued by the executive engineer on 30.10.2014. Thereafter, no further communication was received, and the petitioner was also not aware as to the Status of his proposal for grant of pension. While it is true that the petitioner has not been able to explain the delay that had occurred in preferring the present writ petition in the year 2026, when the claims of the petitioner in

respect of pension arose with effect from 2010, fact remains that the learned Respondent are yet to apprise the Court as to non-entitlement of the writ petitioner towards pension proposal, and if entitled, then the status of the pension proposals which ought to have been forwarded to the Office of the Accountant General.

3. Under such circumstances, this Court had earlier directed on 02.03.2026 for appearance of the Chief Engineer, Roads PWD with contemporaneous records for existing the Court in disposing of the matter.

4. On a request made by Mr. R. Dhar learned Additional Senior Government Advocate submits that since the claims of the petitioner is relates to the year 2010, some further time be enlarged so that the Department can pursue the matter and apprise the Court as to the status of the claims of the writ petitioner.

5. Purely on the request made by Mr. R. Dhar, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate and purely for the ends of justice, this Court permit further 2 weeks time to the Respondent Authorities to apprise the Court as to the status of the claims of the writ petitioner and the pension proposal forwarded.

6. It is made clear that if the instructions as directed are not forthcoming, the court will proceed to pass consequential order as deemed fit and proper.

7. Copy of this order be marked to the Office of Mr. R. Dhar, learned Additional

Senior Government Advocate and learned Standing Counsel for PWD for onward communication to the Department.

8. Mr. R. K. Talukdar, learned counsel appears for Respondent No. 5. Ms. S. Baruah, learned counsel appears for Respondent No.7.

9. Mr. R. K. Talukdar, learned Standing Counsel for the Accountant General will apprise the Court if any communications with regard to the pension proposal of the writ petitioner as in the meantime been received.

10. Accordingly, list this matter again on 31.03.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant