

GAHC010277812025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/3015/2025

ANISUR RAHMAN
S/O GIASUDDIN
R/O VILL- DHARMASALA PART-II
P.S. DHUBRI
DIST. DHUBRI, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M AHMED, MD I H LASKAR

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

Date : 21.01.2026

- 1.** Heard Mr. I. H. Laskar, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. K. Baishya, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.
- 2.** This application under Section 482 BNSS has been filed by the petitioner, namely, *Anisur Rahman* who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Dhubri P.S. Case No. 336/2025 under Sections 61(2)/103(1) of BNS.

3. The gist of accusation in this case is that on 03.08.2025, the father of the victim woman had lodged an FIR before the Officer-in-charge of Bazar Town Out Post, Dhubri, *inter alia*, alleging that his daughter, who was married to the present petitioner about a year ago committed suicide on 02.08.2025. It is further stated in the FIR that the petitioner after coming to know about the death of his wife fled away from his residence. It is alleged in the FIR that the daughter of the first informant was killed and hanged by the petitioner and thereafter he fled away from the residence.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent. He submits that his wife has committed suicide and he has no role in it.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner in this case. He submits that there are materials on record indicating that the deceased was tortured by the petitioner prior to her death. He also submits that even the post-mortem report indicates that there were injury marks on the legs of the deceased, which are anti-mortem in nature.

6. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for both the parties and have gone through the materials on record including the post-mortem report as well as FSL report.

7. Though, on perusal of the post-mortem report, the death of the deceased appears to be suicidal in nature. However, there are materials regarding subjecting the deceased to torture by the petitioner prior to her death.

8. Moreover, there is also evidence on record to indicate that the

petitioner fled away from the scene after the death of his wife. Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary against the petitioner at this stage, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

9. The prayer for anticipatory bail is, therefore, rejected.

10. Send back the case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant