

GAHC010274412025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2914/2025

SHRI MANASH MECH AND ANR
S/O JAGANNATH MECH, R/O LGBRL MENTAL HOSPITAL, P.S. TEZPUR,
SONITPUR, ASSAM, PIN 784001

2: SHRI PARIKHIT RAVA
S/O LATE PUTUL RAVA
R/O TALGEREKI
P.S. TEZPUR
SONITPUR
ASSAM
PIN 78400

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR P R SARMA, MS P DEVI, R DEKA

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 19.03.2026

Heard Mr. P.R. Sarma, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard
Mr. M.P. Goswami, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, whereby the petitioners, namely, Shri Manash Mech and Shri Parikhit Rava, have prayed for granting pre-arrest bail, apprehending arrest in connection with Tezpur P.S. Case No. 646/2025 under Sections 117(2)/127(7)/3(5)/308(2)/308(5) of BNS, 2023, corresponding to G.R. Case No.1418/2025.

3. The case arose out of an Ejahar dated 21.09.2025 lodged by one Umar Ali with the allegation that on that day in afternoon, when he was coming from his uncle's grocery shop and got off his bicycle on the way, eight to ten strangers and two vehicles grabbed him and tried to force him into the car and thereafter, took him to a deserted place and demanded Rs. 50,000/- by making him call his phone and subsequently, the informant's brother handed over the money to some unknown person at another place. The sole named accused is Md. Musaraf.

4. The petitioners were granted interim bail on 11.12.2025 with conditions, pursuant to which they are stated to have appeared before the I/O for recording their statements. It is also submitted that in the meantime, the case has been charge-sheeted upon completion of investigation, whereupon both the petitioners have also sent up for trial. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted a certified copy of charge-sheet in connection with this case.

5. The prosecution does not dispute the contentions of the completion of investigation and filing of charge-sheet. He also submits that the petitioners may be directed to appear before the learned trial court and seek regular bail.

6. The present petitioners have been indicated as accused Nos. 5 and 6 and sent up for trial in the charge-sheet.

7. In view of – completion of investigation; the appearance of the petitioners pursuant to interim bail and no material to indicate violate any bail condition(s) – despite the nature of allegations, I am not inclined to cancel the interim bail. Accordingly, the interim bail vide order dated **11.12.2025** is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the conditions – that the petitioners shall be available for trial if one commences; that the petitioners shall not hamper or tamper with evidence; that the petitioners shall not misuse their liberty to commit any offence or illegal activities; and that the petitioners shall not in any manner cause any harm or harassment to the informant and family or influence any of the witness.

8. The petitioners are at liberty to file fresh bail bonds before the learned trial court whereupon necessary orders shall be passed.

9. The learned trial court is at liberty to impose additional and further condition(s), if so desired.

10. The petition stands **disposed** of accordingly.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant