

GAHC010274082025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2932/2025

NILAMANI KALITA
S/O- LT. BHAGABAN KALITA R/O - MAHIMA PUKURIPAR P.O/P.S -
GHOGRAPAR PIN-781335. DISTRICT- NALBARI, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, GOVT OF ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR P P MEDHI, MAYURI GOGOI, MR G BARGAYARY

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 08.01.2026

Heard Dr.P.P.Medhi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. K.Baishya, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, whereby the petitioner, namely, Nilamani Kalita, has prayed for granting pre-arrest bail, apprehending arrest in connection with

Dispur P.S. Case No. 802/2025 under Section 108 of BNS, 2023.

3. The FIR dated 01.10.2025 was lodged leading to this case in which it was stated that the informant's sister was staying in a rented house of one Mansi Basumatary and was found hanging. It is further stated that his sister had solemnized court marriage with the petitioner, and date for traditional marriage ceremony was also fixed but later he demanded Rs. 5 lakhs and tried to delay the traditional marriage. Due to this, the victim suffered mentally and ultimately ended her life.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that allegation about demand of money been denied ; - that there was opposition to their relationship from the family members of the girl, due to which she was facing pressure and the social marriage was getting delayed. It is submitted that the petitioner is not involved in any manner in the death of the girl who happened to be his legally married wife.
5. The case diary has been received.
6. The I.O. has also submitted a bail objection stating that the accused had forced her to undergo abortions and also delayed the court marriage, thereby putting pressure on her.
7. I have perused the statements of two family members of the house owner where the incident took place. One of them stated that the petitioner sometimes used to visit their house and that he and the victim were engaged.
8. I have given my anxious consideration of the matter and I deem it fit to allow the petitioner to get his statement recorded while keeping the bail petition pending for a while. In such view of the matter, case diary will be returned back and procured on the next date.
9. In the interim, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he is allowed to go

on interim bail of Rs. 30,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of absolute subject to the following conditions:

- 1. He shall co-operate with the investigation,*
- 2. He shall appear before the I.O.,*
- 3. He shall not in any manner cause any harm to the informant side.*

10. List the matter on **30.01.2026.**

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant