

GAHC010007232025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Crl.)/46/2025

GAUTOM NATH @ GUDULA NATH
S/O. SRI PRAHLAD NATH, R/O. VILL. MAJARKHAT, ATHIYABARI, P/S.
MUSHALPUR, DIST. BAKSA, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR
REP. BY THE PP, ASSAM

2:CHANDANA NATH
W/O. MANAHARI NATH
R/O. VILLMAJARKHAT
ATHIYABARI
P/S. MUSHALPUR
DIST. BAKSA
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. Y S MANNAN, MR. S MUNIR,MR. C K NATH

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

Linked Case :

GAUTOM NATH @ GUDULA NATH

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR

Advocate for : MR. Y S MANNAN

Advocate for : appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR

Linked Case :

GAUTOM NATH @ GUDULA NATH

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR

Advocate for : MR. Y S MANNAN

Advocate for : appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR

B E F O R E

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI

ORDER

24.06.2025

Heard Mr. Y.S. Mannan, learned counsel for the applicant.

It appears that the accompanying criminal appeal is rising out of the offence under the POCSO Act, 2012. However, the notice issued to the informant earlier was by through the registered post with A/D which had also returned back as unserved.

Be that as it may, let fresh steps be taken in view of the direction passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Gauhati High Court in the case of *Dipak Nayak Vs. State of Assam, Criminal*

Appeal (J) No. 40/2022.

List the matter after two weeks on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant