

GAHC010268952023



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6951/2023

PRAFULLA KALITA
S/O SRI CHENIRAM KALITA, R/O VILL-MOHIMABORI, P.O.-MOHIMABORI,
BLOCK-TITABOR, DIST-JORHAT, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL
EDUCATION, GOVT. OF ASSAM, DISPUR, GHY-06

2:THE STATE LEVEL SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
REPRESENTED BY THE DIRECTOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION
KAHILIPARA
GHY-19
KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM

3:THE DISTRICT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
JORHAT DISTRICT
REPRESENTED BY DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
JORHAT DISTRICT

4:THE DISTRICT ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER
JORHAT DISTRICT
ASSAM

5:THE BLOCK ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER
TITABOR BLOCK
JORHAT DISTRICT

6:SURYA BORTHAKUR
HEAD MASTER OF SONARAM SARMA BALYA BIDYAPITH ME SCHOOL

R/O VILL-MOHIMABORI
BLOCK- TITABOR
DIST-JORHAT
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. B K DAS, MR S SARMAH

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, ELEM. EDU, MS N SHYAM (r-6),MR DEBA SARMAH (r-6),GA, ASSAM

Linked Case : I.A.(Civil)/778/2024

SURYA BORTHAKUR

HEAD MASTER OF SONARAM SARMA BALYA BIDYAPITH ME SCHOOL
R/O VILL-MOHIMABORI
BLOCK- TITABOR
DIST-JORHAT
ASSAM

VERSUS

PRAFULLA KALITA AND 5 ORS
S/O SRI CHENIRAM KALITA
R/O VILL-MOHIMABORI
P.O.-MOHIMABORI
BLOCK-TITABOR
DIST-JORHAT
ASSAM

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM

REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL
EDUCATION
GOVT. OF ASSAM
DISPUR
GHY-06

3:THE STATE LEVEL SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
REPRESENTED BY THE DIRECTOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION
KAHILIPARA
GHY-19

KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM

4:THE DISTRICT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
JORHAT DISTRICT
REPRESENTED BY DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
JORHAT DISTRICT

5:THE DISTRICT ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER
JORHAT DISTRICT
ASSAM

6:THE BLOCK ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER
TITABOR BLOCK
JORHAT DISTRICT

Advocate for : MR DEBA SARMAH
Advocate for : SC
ELEM. EDU appearing for PRAFULLA KALITA AND 5 ORS

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

Date : 28-01-2026

At the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, list these matters on 02.02.2026.

The matter has been heard at length. Parties are to come prepared for disposal on 02.02.2026.

In the meantime, the learned counsel of the Education Department will also be at liberty to procure the records which were taken into account while passing the order dated 07.11.2023. It is observed that the respondents have not filed their affidavit-in-opposition, and from the order dated 07.11.2023, it appears that only because the District Scrutiny

Committee, Jorhat did not recommend the name of the petitioner for provincialization, the claim for provincialization of his service was rejected. Prima facie, it appears that the order has been passed mechanically without addressing the actual grievance of the petitioner that he was senior in terms of length of service to the private respondent no. 6.

List on 02.02.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant