

GAHC010264422025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/7486/2025

GANGADHAR BARMAN
S/O LATE THIRO RAM BARMAN, R/O VILL. KAIHATI, P.O. SOLMARA, P.S.
BELSOR, DIST. NALBARI, ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF
ASSAM, DEPTT. OF SCHOOL EDUCATION, DISPUR, GUWAHATI 781006

2:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTORATE OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI 19
ASSAM.

3:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS

NALBARI DIST. CIRCLE
NALBARI

4:THE STATE SELECTION BOARD
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN/COMMISSIONER AND SECY TO THE
GOVT. OF ASSAM
SECONDARY EDUCATION
DEPTT.
DISPUR.

5:THE SCHOOL SELECTION COMMITTEE OF BELSOR HIGHER
SECONDARY SCHOOL
BELSOR NALBARI.

6:JAYANTA KUMAR BARMAN
BELSOR HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL
NALBARI

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. S. Borthakur, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. U. Sarma, Standing Counsel
Mr. I.H. Saikia, Advocate

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

ORDER

Date : 09.01.2026

Issue notice making it returnable on 06.03.2026.

2. Mr. U. Sarma, the learned Standing Counsel appears on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Mr. I.H. Saikia, the learned counsel appears on behalf of the respondent No. 6 and accepts notice.
3. Extra copies of the writ petition be served upon them during the course of the day.
4. As regards the respondent No. 5, the petitioner is directed to take steps by way of Speed Post during the course of the day.
5. In addition to that, the petitioner is also directed to affect

service upon the respondent No. 5 by way of Dasti routed through the Registry of this Court and file an affidavit of service on or before the next date.

6. The petitioner shall ensure that Dasti service upon the respondent No. 5 is completed on or before 13.02.2026.

7. The petitioner herein has assailed the order dated 02.11.2025 issued by the Director of Secondary Education, Assam whereby the petitioner was not granted any marks on Leadership Skills and resultantly secured lesser marks than the respondent No. 6 and one Mr. Derazuddin Ahmed. It is the case of the petitioner that non-granting of marks in the criterion of Leadership Skills suffers from malice in law.

8. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that had the petitioner been granted the marks as were granted earlier, the petitioner would have been recruited to the post of Principal. The learned counsel further submitted that in the meantime the persons who were recommended i.e. the respondent No. 6 and Mr. Derazuddin Ahmed have both superannuated.

9. The respondents are directed to bring on record their stand by filing the affidavits on or before 25.02.2026.

10. Liberty given to the petitioner to file reply on or before

05.03.2026.

11. List accordingly.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant