



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : MACApp./572/2022

UNITED INDIA INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT 24, WHITES ROAD, CHENNAI- 600014
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL OFFICE, G.S. ROAD, GUWAHATI-5

VERSUS

SHRI PADAM CHAND JAIN AND 5 ORS
S/O SRI DHARAM CHAND JAIN, RESIDENT OF HOTEL RELAX BUILDING,
2ND FLOOR, SRCB ROAD, FANCY BAZAR, GUWAHATI, P.S. PANBAZAR,
DIST. KAMRUP(M), ASSAM, PIN 781001 PRESENTLY RESIDING AT C/O
NEHA APARTMENT, BLOCK 7B, 6TH FLOOR, ATHGAON, GUWAHATI, PIN
781001, MOBILE 7670006501

2:SMT. VAISHALI JAIN
D/O SRI PADAM CHAND JAIN
RESIDENT OF HOTEL RELAX BUILDING
2ND FLOOR
SRCB ROAD
FANCY BAZAR
GUWAHATI
P.S. PANBAZAR
DIST. KAMRUP(M)
ASSAM
PIN 781001
PRESENTLY RESIDING AT C/O NEHA APARTMENT
BLOCK 7B
6TH FLOOR
ATHGAON
GUWAHATI
PIN 781001
MOBILE 7670006501

3:SRI RISHAB PHUKAN
S/O LATE JITEN PHUKAN
C/O S. PHUKAN
ANUBHAV APARTMENT
FLAT NO. 006
BELTOLA
BASISTHAPUR
GUWAHATI
DIST. KAMRUP(M)
ASSAM
PIN 781029
MOBILE 9435051900
OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. AS-01-FC-6100(BUS)

5:MRS. RANJITA SARMA
W/O MAHESH SARMA
R/O 4TH FLOOR
VISHNU MARKET
AT ROAD
NEAR RAILWAY GATE NO.5
GUWAHATI
DISTRICT KAMRUP(M)
ASSAM
PIN 781001
MOBILE NO. 9435191566
OWNER OF VEHICLE NO. AS-01-AX-1133 (NISSAN CAR)

6:M/S BAJAJ ALLIANZ GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.
REGISTERED AND HEAD OFFICE AT GE PLAZA
AIRPORT ROAD
YERWADA
PUNE
PIN 411006.

AND HAVING ONE OF ITS GUWAHATI ZONE(B) OFFICE AT CENTRE POINT
2B
2ND FLOOR
NH CENTRE POINT
OPPOSITE TO BORA SERVICE STATION
G.S. ROAD
ULUBARI
GUWAHATI-781007
INSURER OF VEHICLE NO. AS-01-AX-1133 (NISSAN CAR)

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S S SHARMA, MS L SHARMA,MR. S K SANGANERIA,MR.
B J MUKHERJEE,MR H BURAGOHAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. S K JAIN, MS. T. PAIT (r-3),MR. D BARUAH (r-3),MR. B K
JAIN,MS. P C BARNALI NAIR

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

ORDER

Date - 20.05.2026

1. Heard Ms. L. Sarma, the learned counsel for the appellant. Also heard Mr. B. K. Jain, the learned counsel for the respondent.
2. The learned counsel for the appellant submits that as the appellant was not having the proper address respondent No. 5, the steps for issuance of notice by dasti mode as was directed could not be taken. She submits that today the learned counsel for the respondent No.1 and 2 is agreeable to furnish the proper address of respondent No. 5, hence. She prays that the appellant may be allowed to take fresh steps for issuance of notice upon the sole respondent by the speed post as well as by usual mode within seven days from the date of this order, returnable on 20.07.2026.
3. The prayer is allowed.
4. Let this matter be listed on 20.07.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant