

GAHC010257452025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6967/2025

SRI PRASANNA RABHA
S/O LATE RANJIT RABHA
RESIDENT OF VILL- LAKHIMPUR
P.O. TELEM
DIST. DHEMAJI
ASSAM
PIN-787061

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF ASSAM
PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781006.

2:THE STATE LEVEL COMMITTEE (SLC)
FOR APPOINTMENT ON COMPASSIONATE GROUND
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN-CUM- CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. OF ASSAM
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781006.

3:THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM

DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 781006.

4:THE DIRECTOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION

ASSAM
KAHHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-781019.

5:THE DISTRICT ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER (DEEO)

DHEMAJI
ASSAM
PIN-787057

6:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER

DHEMAJI -CUM-CHAIRMAN

DIST. LEVEL COMMITTEE (DLC) FOR COMPASSIONATE APPOINTMENT
DHEMAJI
ASSAM
PIN-787057

Advocate for : MR. D BHUYAN
Advocate for : GA
ASSAM appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS.

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR
ORDER

21/04/2026

Heard Mr. D Bhuyan, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. P Saikia, learned Government Advocate and Mr. B Talukdar, learned Standing counsel, Elementary Education Department.

2. The petitioner by way of instituting the present writ petition, has prayed for a direction upon the respondent authorities for consideration of his case for appointment on compassionate grounds.

3. The father of the petitioner had died-in-harness on 27.09.2009. The

petitioner had earlier approached this Court by way of instituting a writ petition, being WP(C) No. 3145/2023. The said writ petition was on consideration dismissed by this Court vide order dated 02.06.2023. The said order in absence of a challenge, has attained its finality.

4. It is in the above background that the present writ petition has been again instituted praying for the same reliefs, as prayed for in WP(C) No. 3145/2023.

5. The said aspect of the matter being pointed out to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present writ petition has been inadvertently filed and accordingly, prays that he be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition.

6. The prayer is not objected to by the learned counsels for the respondents.

7. Accordingly, in view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition stands dismissed on withdrawal.

8. It is made clear that no liberty has been granted to the petitioner to institute any fresh proceedings praying for consideration of his case for appointment on compassionate grounds.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant