

GAHC010256062024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6498/2024

ISHED PEDRU DESOUZA
S/O- LATE PEDRU FRANCIS DESOUZA,
PRESENTLY C/O- MR. ABRIEL HIYAL (HEAD TEA HOUSE),
TARAJULI TEA ESTATE,
P.O.- RANGAPARA,
DIST.- SONITPUR, ASSAM- 784505.

VERSUS

STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS
THROUGH THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY,
LABOUR DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-06.

2:ASSISTANT LABOUR COMMISSIONER
SHRAM BHAWAN
ULUBARI
GUWAHATI
DIST.- KAMRUP- 781007.

3:ASSISTANT LABOUR COMMISSIONER
SONITPUR
TEZPUR
ASSAM- 784001.

4:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
SONITPUR
TEZPUR
ASSAM- 784001.

5:THE MANAGEMENT OF DURRANG TEA ESTATE LTD.
P.O.- BINDUKURI
SONITPUR
ASSAM- 784502

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A GANGULY, MR. A DHANUKA

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, MS M DAS (R-5),MR K KHANNA (R-5)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI**

ORDER

21.01.2026

Heard Shri A. Ganguli, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. M. Das, learned counsel for the respondent no. 5.

The grievance of the petitioner was with regard to the aspect of compliance of the provision of Section 33 C of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in terms of an Award dated 20.09.2022 passed by the learned Labour Court, Kamrup (M) at Guwahati in Ref. Case No. 01/2019.

Both the learned counsel for the parties have, however, submitted that during the pendency of the writ petition, the amount in question has been disbursed to the petitioner.

In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that there is no requirement or scope for any adjudication in this matter.

Since the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed, there would be no necessity to proceed with the recovery certificate said to have been issued in the meantime.

The writ petition accordingly stands closed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant